San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 1997A, 2014A and 2014B

> Continuing Disclosure Report For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Prepared pursuant to the Continuing Disclosure Certificates

San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 1997A, 2014A and 2014B

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Introduction:

On November 6, 2014, the agency issued \$1,098,850,000 aggregate initial principal amount of Senior Lien Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 2014A, and \$293,910,000 aggregate initial principal amount of Junior Lien Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds Series 2014B, together (the "2014 Bonds"). The 2014 Bonds were issued pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Master Indenture of Trust, dated as of November 1, 2014, between the Agency and the Trustee, as supplemented by the Third and Fourth Supplemental Indentures of Trust, dated as of November 1, 2014, between the Agency and the "2014 Master Indenture").

The 2014 Bonds were issued by the Agency for the purpose of providing funds, to refund the 1993 Bonds and a portion of the Series 1997A Bonds, as more fully described in the Official Statement for the 2014 Bonds dated October 22, 2014 (the "2014 Official Statement").

Pursuant to Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Agency has executed a Continuing Disclosure Certificate, dated as of November 1, 20 14 for the bonds under the 2014 Master Indenture, (the "Continuing Disclosure Certificate"). The Continuing Disclosure Certificate states that the Agency shall provide not later than January 15 of each year to each Repository (as defined in the Continuing Disclosure Certificate) a Disclosure Report relating to the immediately preceding fiscal year. The Disclosure Report is to contain certain data related to the Agency, the Toll Road and the bonds under the 2014 Master Indenture.

The information contained in this report constitutes all disclosure required pursuant to the Continuing Disclosure Certificate. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Official Statement.

Disclosure Information:

Section 4.1 The audited financial statements of the Agency for the prior Fiscal Year, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as promulgated to apply to governmental entities from time to time by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

See audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 attached.

Section 4.2 Principal amount of Bonds of each Series outstanding under the 2014 Master Indenture.

On November 6, 2014, the Agency issued \$1,392,760,000 aggregate initial principal amount of the 2014 Bonds and used the proceeds to refund the 1993 Bonds and a portion of the 1997A Bonds. As of June 30, 2015, the bonds consist of the following: \$1,098,850,000 principal amount of Series 2014A Senior Lien Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds, \$293,910,000 principal amount of the Series 2014B Junior Lien Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds, \$538,574,238 accreted value of The 1997A Convertible Capital Appreciation Bonds, and \$183,318,118 of the accreted value of the 1997A Capital Appreciation Bonds. Additional information can be found in the Agency's Audited Financial Statements.

Section 4.3 A statement of the Senior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund Requirement, the balance in the Senior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund and the amount of the Senior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund Requirement (if any) that is funded with a letter of credit, surety bond, or insurance policy, as provided by the 2014 Master Indenture; and a statement of the Junior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund Requirement, the balance in the Junior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund and the amount of the Junior Lien Bonds Reserve Fund Requirement (if any) that is funded with a letter of credit, surety bond, or insurance policy, as provided by the 2014 Master Indenture.

The Senior Lien Reserve Fund Requirement under the 2014 Indentures is \$151,855,625.

The total amount available to meet the Senior Lien Reserve Fund Requirement on June 30, 2015 was \$151,907,122 in cash and investments.

The Junior Lien Reserve Fund Requirement under the 2014 Indentures is \$27,393,548.

The total amount available to meet the Junior Lien Reserve Fund Requirement on June 30, 2015 was \$27,393,548 in cash and investments.

Section 4.4 – A statement of the Use and Occupancy Fund Requirement under the 2014 Master Indentures, the balance of the Use and Occupancy Fund, the amount of the Use and Occupancy Fund Requirement (if any) that is funded with an insurance policy as provided by the 2014 Master Indenture, and, if applicable, a brief description of such insurance policy (including self-insurance retention requirement applicable to such insurance policy)

The Use and Occupancy Fund Requirement under the 2014 Indentures is \$15,000,000. As of June 30, 2015 the fund consisted of \$15,219,031 in cash and investments. As the cash and investments held in the Use and Occupancy Fund satisfy the full requirement, no insurance policy is held for this purpose.

Section 4.5 – Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "HISTORICAL FISCAL YEAR TRAFFIC AND GROSS TRANSACTIONAL TOLL REVENUES" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE AND 2011 RESTRUCTURING."

Fiscal Year ending June 30	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Annual Transactions	25,451,120	25,424,605	24,984,196	26,459,758	27,965,862
Growth Over Previous Year	0.6%	-0.1%	-1.7%	5.9%	5.7%
Average Toll Rate	\$ 3.46	\$ 3.66	\$ 4.02	\$ 4.43	\$ 4.70
Growth Over Previous Year	0.6%	5.6%	10.0%	10.0%	6.3%
Annual Gross Transactional Toll Revenues	\$ 88,103,663	\$ 92,972,084	\$100,528,366	\$117,138,365	\$131,560,706
Growth Over Previous Year	1.2%	5.5%	8.1%	16.5%	12.3%

Section 4.6 – Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "HISTORICAL FISCAL YEAR GROSS TRANSACTIONAL TOLL REVENUES AND NET COLLECTIBLE TOLLS" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "THE TOLL ROAD - Net Collectible Tolls."

Fiscal Year ending June 30	2011	2012	2013	2014		2015
Gross Transactional Toll Revenue	\$ 88,103,663	\$ 92,972,084	\$ 100,528,366	\$ 117,138,365	\$	131,560,706
Less Unprocessable Images	\$ (1,181,622)	\$ (1,230,246)	\$ (1,419,743)	\$ (2,099,383)	\$	(3,327,057
Less Pursuable Violations	\$ (1,328,370)	\$ (1,437,975)	\$ (1,661,129)	\$ (3,941,182)	\$ (11,567,873
Toll Revenue from Violations *	\$ 1.0.0	\$ •	\$ ÷	\$ -	\$	8,385,518
Less Non-Revenue Transactions	\$ (236,435)	\$ (310,570)	\$ (139,439)	\$ (397,334)	\$	(609,252
Net Collectible Tolls	\$ 85,357,236	\$ 89,993,293	\$ 97,308,055	\$ 110,700,466	\$	124,442,043
% of Gross Transactional Toll Revenue	96.9%	96.8%	96.8%	94.5%		94.6%

*As a result of the conversion to All Electronic Tolling (AET) and the resulting shift in payment patterns, including some patrons who may have previously paid with eash but are now initially identified instead as pursuable violations transactions, included in Net Collectible Toll Revenues are tolls identified during the violation process that were appropriately reclassed to Net Collectible Toll Revenues. Tolls collected during the violation process were not considered material prior to the implementation of AET and as such were included in Violation Penalty Revenue through FY14.

Section 4.7 - A Statement of Violation Penalty Revenues accrued for the Fiscal Year.

Violation Penalty Revenue accrued for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2015 was \$19,317,382. Violation Penalty Revenue is recognized when earned. As mentioned in Section 4.6 above, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 Toll Revenue from Violations was \$8,385,518 and is properly classified in Net Collectible Toll Revenues.

Section 4.8 – A statement of Account Maintenance Fees accrued for the Fiscal Year, as well as the number of accounts and transponders for such Fiscal Year.

Account Maintenance Fees accrued for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2015 was \$3,171,844. The total number of accounts was 145,333 and the total number of transponders was 234,947 at June 30, 2015.

Section 4.9 – Statistical data summarizing the use of the AVI collection system on the San Joaquin Hills System, including the percentage of toll transactions that are AVI transactions and the overall level of accuracy of the toll collection system.

Fiscal Year ending June 30	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
AVI Transactions	19,989,244	20,041,533	20,123,522	21,423,144	24,656,829
Total Transactions	25,451,120	25,424,605	24,984,196	26,459,758	27,965,862
AVI %	78.5%	78.8%	80.5%	81.0%	88.2%

In May 2014, the Transportation Corridor Agencies replaced its integrated toll collection and revenue management systems ("TCARMS") with the Infinity Digital Lane System ("Infinity System"). Using common transponders, a centralized computer system and common personnel, the Infinity System utilizes mechanisms for separate usagebased revenue collection and cost allocation among the Agency and the Foothill Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency. The Infinity System was designed by and is operated and maintained by TransCore, a Tennessee-based corporation.

By contract, the Infinity System is required to achieve an accuracy level of at minimum 99.5% readable plates. Final system acceptance was completed in April 2015. The Infinity System has met the minimum requirements.

Section 4.10 - A statement of Development Impact Fees accrued for the Fiscal Year.

Development Impact Fees accrued for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 was \$3,482,848.

Section 4.11 - Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "Current Expenses" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "THE TOLL ROAD--Current Expenses."

Final Vary Fadius June 20	2011	2012		2013		2014	2015	(D	2016 udgeted)
Fiscal Year Ending June 30	 2011	2012	i.	2013	0	2014	2015	(D)	udgeted)
Toll Operations Toll Collections	\$ 1,187	\$ 1,210	\$	1,208	¢	1,199	\$	\$	
Toll Systems	\$ 1,486	\$	\$	14.000	\$		\$ 751	\$	1,125
Toll Customer Service/Compliance	\$ 3,663	\$	\$	3,856	\$	Concernance of	\$ 5,668	\$	6,093
Toll Facilities	\$ 328	\$ 316	\$	309	\$	315	\$ 243	\$	298
Total Toll Operations	\$ 6,664	\$ 6,704	\$	6,853	\$	7,264	\$ 6,663	\$	7,516
Toll Operating Administration	\$ 4,596	\$ 4,231	\$	3,715	\$	4,110	\$ 4,240	\$	5,446
Tax Arbitrage Rebate *	\$ -	\$	\$	2	\$	-	\$ 1,205	\$	
Toll Equipment (Includes Transponders)	\$ 410	\$ 252	\$	488	\$	720	\$ 842	\$	1,037
Total Current Expenses **	\$ 11,670	\$ 11,187	\$	11,056	\$	12,094	\$ 12,949	\$	13,999

*Due to significantly favorable market conditions at the time of the bond refinancing in November 2014, the Agency is required to make tax arbitrage payments to the Internal Revenue service every five years. The \$1.2 million represents an estimate of the tax arbitrage payment.

** FY16 Budget reflects variable costs associated with increasing transactions.

Section 4.12 - Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "HISTORICAL OPERATING REVENUES AND DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "THE TOLL ROAD--Historical Operating Revenues and Debt Service Coverage."

See Attachment A

Section 4.13 - Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "Current Expenses for Toll Operations" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "THE TOLL ROAD—Management's Discussion of FY 2013-14 and FY 2014-15 Budget and Performance-Current Expenses for Toll Operations."

See table in Section 4.11

Section 4.14 - Updated Fiscal Year information for the table entitled "Future Capital Project Costs" in the section of the Official Statement entitled "THE TOLL ROAD Capital Improvement Program."

See attached "Fiscal Year 2016 Capital Improvement Plan" presented to Board of Directors on May 15, 2015

Section 4.15 - Updated actual Fiscal Year information corresponding to the projections in the table in the section entitled 'PROJECTED REVENUES AND DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS."

See Attachment A

Section 4.16 – A description of any damage to the Toll Road or the toll collection system during the past Fiscal Year, which in the determination of the Agency will result in a material reduction in Net Toll Revenues.

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, no damage occurred to the Toll Road or the toll collection system, which, in the determination of the Agency, resulted in the material reduction in Net Toll Revenues.

Section 5 - Reporting of Significant Events

None to report

As of June 30, 2015, none of the following events have occurred with respect to the bonds under the 2014 Master Indenture:

- 1. Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- 2. Non-payment related defaults, if material;
- 3. Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- 4. Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties; Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform; Adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the 2014 Bonds, or other material events affecting the tax status of the 2014 Bonds;
- 5. Modifications to rights of 2014 Bond holders, if material;
- 6. 2014 Bond calls, if material, and tender offers; Defeasances; Release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the 2014 Bonds, if material; Rating changes; Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, or similar event of the Agency. For purposes of this event the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for the Agency in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the Agency, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governing body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or businest or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or businest or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or businest or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or businest o
- 7. Consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the Agency or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Agency (other than in the ordinary course of business), the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material;
 - 8. Appointment of a successor or additional Trustee, or the change of name of a Trustee, if material; and
 - 9. Introduction or passage of any amendment to the Act.

Signature

The information set forth herein has been furnished by the Agency and is believed to be accurate and reliable, but is not guaranteed as to accuracy and completeness. Statements contained in this Disclosure Report which involve estimates, forecasts, or other matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as representations of fact. Further, expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice and the delivery of this Disclosure Report will not, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the Agency.

San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency

By the atte

Any Polter Chief Financial Officer January 13, 2016

Attachment A

HISTORICAL OPERATING REVENUES AND DEBT SERVICE	COVE	RAGE		Fiscal Years I	End	ed June 30	1			-
	-	2011	-	2012	Silu	2013		2014		2015
Revenues		2011		2012		2015		2011		2015
Net Collectible Tolls	\$	85,357,236	\$	89,993,293	S	97,308,055	s	110,700,466	s	124,442,043
Account Maintanance Fees		3,091,275		3,059,853		3,032,572		3.033.834		3,171,844
Violations Penalty Revenue		7,592,194		9,076,763		9,285,230		14,501,373		19,317,382
Other Revenue from Toll Operations		386,471		412,856		772,157		867,456		801,73
Total Tolls, Fees and Fines	\$	96,427,176	\$	102,542,765	\$	110,398,014	\$	129,103,129	\$	147,733,00
Total Interest Income	\$	6,710,398	\$	5,442,428	\$	4,996,875	\$	2,186,200	\$	1,096,82
Total Revenues	\$	103,137,574	\$	107,985,193	\$	115,394,889	\$	131,289,329	\$	148,829,834
Total Current Expenses	\$	(11,669,847)	\$	(11,187,348)	\$	(11,056,273)	\$	(12,093,947)	\$	(12,949,12
Adjusted Net Toll Revenues	\$	91,467,727	\$	96,797,845	\$	104,338,616	\$	119,195,382	\$	135,880,71
Total DIF Income Applied to Debt Service*	. \$	-	\$	÷	\$		\$		\$	18
Enhanced Adjusted Net Toll Revenues	\$	91,467,727	\$	96,797,845	\$	104,338,616	\$	119,195,382	\$	135,880,71
Enhanced Adjusted Net Toll Revenues x (8/12)**	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	90,587,14
annual Debt Service										
Series 1993 Bonds Debt Service	\$	11,009,000	\$	11,009,000	\$	11,009,000	\$	11,009,000	\$	
Series 1997A Bonds Debt Service		87,773,268		79,123,269		82,178,269		83,758,268		
Toll Stabilization Deposit		(32,900,000)		-		-				
14 Bonds - Senior Lien Interest		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-				-		38,001,89
14 Bonds - Senior Lien Principal		-4-2		-		-		+		-
14 Bonds - Capital Appreciation Bonds Sinking Fund		-		-				-		
14 Bonds - Convertible Capital Appreciation Bonds Sinking Fund				-		-				
Total Senior Lien Debt Service	\$	65,882,268	\$	90,132,269	\$	93,187,269	\$	94,767,268	\$	38,001,89
14 Bonds - Junior Lien Interest	\$	1 . A	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	10,672,60
14 Bonds - Junior Lien Principal							~		-	
Total Aggregate Debt Service	\$	65,882,268	\$	90,132,269	\$	93,187,269	\$	94,767,268	\$	48,674,50
Coverage Ratio for Aggregate Debt Service	_	1.39		1.07		1.12	_	1.26		1.8
Coverage Ratio for Senior Lien Debt Service	-			*		4	_			2.3
Average Toll Rate Change		100489.8%		5.6%		10.0%		10,0%		6.3
Unrestricted Funds *** As per indenture; equals DIF revenue in excess of \$5 million	\$	8,672,000	s	21,350,000	\$	23,583,000	s	24,563,000	\$	35,660,00

* As per indenture; equals DIF revenue in excess of \$5 million
 ** Partial year calculation as bonds were refinanced with 8 months remaining in FY-2015.
 *** As of June 30. Not pledged to the payment of the Bonds. Includes the following funds earmarked to build a maintenance facility for Caltrans: approximately \$8 Million in 2009 through 2015.



Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(With Independent Auditors' Report Thereon)

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	9
Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position	10
Statement of Cash Flows	11
Notes to Financial Statements	13



KPMG LLP Suite 700 20 Pacifica Irvine, CA 92618-3391

Independent Auditors' Report

The Honorable Board of Directors San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency (the agency), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2015, and the related statements of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency as of June 30, 2015, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.



Emphasis of Matters

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

As discussed in the *Significant Accounting Policies* note to the financial statements, in 2015 the agency adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions* and GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date*. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matter

Required Supplementary Information

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles require that management's discussion and analysis on pages 3–8 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.



October 2, 2015

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

This discussion and analysis of the financial performance of the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency (the agency) provides an overview of the agency's financial activities for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. Please read it in conjunction with the agency's financial statements and accompanying notes.

Background

The agency was formed in 1986 as a joint powers authority by the County of Orange and cities in Orange County, California to provide traffic relief to Orange County through the construction and operation of a toll road. The agency was created to plan, design, finance, construct, and operate a 15-mile toll road, known as the San Joaquin Hills (State Route 73) Toll Road. The agency's primary focus is the operation of the facility and collection of tolls to repay the tax-exempt revenue bonds that were issued to construct the toll road.

Planning began in the 1970s when local transportation studies identified the need for new highways, including the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor (State Route 73), to serve Orange County's growing population. In the early 1980s, the corridor was envisioned as a free highway funded through state or federal gas-tax revenue, but with a shortage of gas-tax revenue to fund transportation improvements and increasing costs of building new roads, local officials began to study alternative ways to fund road projects.

In the mid-1980s, two state laws were passed authorizing the agency to collect tolls and development impact fees to fund road construction. With a pledged revenue stream from future tolls and development impact fees, the agency issued nonrecourse, toll-revenue bonds to fund road construction, rather than relying on the uncertainty of state gas-tax revenue. The agency also reached an agreement with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to assume ownership, liability, and maintenance of the State Route 73 Toll Road as part of the state highway system. This agreement eliminated the need for the agency to seek additional funding sources for road maintenance.

In 1996, the State Route 73 Toll Road opened to traffic, the first publicly operated toll road in Southern California. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, averages of approximately 92,000 and 83,000 transactions, respectively, are recorded on the State Route 73 Toll Road every weekday, serving as an important, time-saving alternative route to the Interstate 405 and Interstate 5 Freeways.

Financial Highlights

In November 2014, the agency issued \$1,392,760 of Series 2014 Current Interest Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds (2014 Bonds); the proceeds of the issuance were used to refund the outstanding balances of its 1993 bonds; its 1997 current interest bonds; and portions of its 1997 capital appreciation bonds and convertible capital appreciation bonds. As a result of this transaction, the ultimate maturity of the agency's long-term debt obligations has been extended from 2042 to 2050. In addition, the transaction is expected to provide greater financial flexibility, more latitude in managing future toll rate increases, and increased ability to withstand future economic downturns.

Tolls, fees, and fines collected in fiscal year 2015 (FY15) totaled \$147,733 compared to \$129,103 in fiscal year 2014 (FY14), an increase of 14.4%.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the agency had \$295,831 and \$370,829, respectively, in restricted cash and investments subject to the master indentures of trust for the bonds outstanding at each date. The agency also had \$35,660 and \$23,651, respectively, in unrestricted cash.

The agency's net position at June 30, 2015 and 2014 was (1,899,785) and (1,773,548), respectively. The negative net position results primarily because the agency's financial statements reflect its long-term debt obligations which were used to fund construction of the corridor, but not the related capital assets, since ownership of the corridor was transferred to Caltrans upon completion.

Overview of the Financial Statements

The agency's financial statements include its statement of net position, statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position, statement of cash flows, and notes to the financial statements. The financial statements present the financial picture of the agency from the economic resources measurement focus using the accrual basis of accounting. These statements include the assets and liabilities of the agency as well as certain items labeled as deferred outflows and inflows of resources. The current year's revenue and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid. The statement of cash flows provides information about the agency's cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operating, capital and related financing, and investing activities during the reporting period.

The statement of net position and the statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position report the agency's net position and related changes. Net position is the difference between the total of recorded assets and deferred outflows and the total of liabilities and deferred inflows. The recorded activities include all toll revenue and operating expenses related to the operation of the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor, as well as the agency's construction-related activities and related financing costs. Activities are financed by toll revenue, development impact fees, fees and fines, and investment income.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

Financial Analysis

The following table summarizes the net position of the agency as of June 30, 2015 and 2014:

_	2015	2014	Percentage increase (decrease)
\$	111,783	99,031	12.9%
	6,422	6,464	0.6
	230,428	305,251	(24.5)
_	108,453		
_	457,086	410,746	11.3
	2,191,499	2,148,605	2.0
	120,195	—	—
	3,126	—	—
	41,619	35,689	16.6
_	432		—
_	2,356,871	2,184,294	7.9
\$ _	(1,899,785)	(1,773,548)	7.1
		\$ 111,783 6,422 230,428 108,453 457,086 2,191,499 120,195 3,126 41,619 432 2,356,871	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

In connection with the agency's November 2014 bond refinance transaction, the agency incurred a deferred loss for accounting purposes, which has been recorded as a deferred outflow of resources. Further, as more fully described in note 6(c) to the accompanying financial statements, the agency's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors of Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency (F/ETCA) approved an agreement that provided for termination of the Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement between the agencies, concurrently with the closing of the refinance transaction. The termination agreement also provided for the agency to pay \$120,000 to F/ETCA, in annual installments beginning January 15, 2025 equal to 50% of the agency's surplus funds, plus accrued interest.

As more fully described in notes 2 and 8 to the accompanying financial statements, the agency adopted Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68 and recorded its proportionate share of the collective net pension liability applicable to the defined benefit pension plan in which its employees participate, as well as related deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions. This resulted in an adjustment to the agency's previously reported net position as of June 30, 2014 in order to record its net pension liability as of that date, as reflected in the statement of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

Following is a summary of the agency's revenue, expenses, and changes in net position for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	_	2015	2014	Percentage increase (decrease)
Operating revenue:	¢	145 500	100 100	
Tolls, fees, and fines Development impact fees	\$	147,733 3,483	129,103 3,807	14.4% (8.5)
Other revenue	_	1	7	(85.7)
Total operating revenue		151,217	132,917	13.8
Operating expenses	_	13,710	13,859	(1.1)
Operating income		137,507	119,058	15.5
Nonoperating expenses, net	_	(260,424)	(112,171)	132.2
Change in net position		(122,917)	6,887	—
Net position at beginning of year Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of applying retroactively the new		(1,773,548)	(1,780,435)	(0.4)
method for accounting for pensions	_	(3,320)		—
Net position at end of year	\$	(1,899,785)	(1,773,548)	7.1

Revenue for the agency consist primarily of tolls, fees, and fines, which comprised 97.7% of total revenue in FY15 as compared to 97.1% in FY14. Tolls, fees, and fines increased 14.4% and 16.9%, respectively, over each of the two preceding years, primarily due to toll rate increases and increases in toll transactions. Development impact fees were \$3,483 in FY15 compared to \$3,807 in FY14, a decrease of 8.5%. The amount of development impact fees received in a given year is related to residential and nonresidential development in Orange County within the area of benefit from the San Joaquin Hills Corridor.

Operating expenses were \$13,710 in FY15 compared to \$13,859 in FY14, a decrease of 1.1%. Included in operating expenses is noncash depreciation expense on fixed assets of \$1,687 in FY15, compared to \$842 in FY14. The increase in depreciation expense is attributable to the completion of the agency's All Electronic Tolling (AET) project in FY15. Excluding depreciation, operating expenses were \$12,023 in FY15 and \$13,017 in FY14, a decrease of \$994.

Net nonoperating expenses for FY15 include investment income of \$1,133; interest expense of \$123,370; costs related to the bond refunding transaction of \$16,982; arbitrage rebate costs of \$1,205; and the \$120,000 cost to terminate the Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement with F/ETCA. For FY14, the agency had investment income of \$3,275 and interest expense of \$115,446.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

Capital Assets, Net

The following table summarizes the agency's capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation at June 30:

	 2015	2014
Construction in progress	\$ 	_
Right-of-way acquisitions, grading, or improvement	119	119
Furniture and equipment	 6,303	6,345
Total capital assets, net	\$ 6,422	6,464

Right-of-way acquisitions, grading, or improvements include easements and environmental mitigation parcels. Furniture and equipment includes transponders, toll and violations collection equipment, buildings, and changeable message signs.

More detailed information about the agency's capital assets is presented in note 5 to the financial statements.

Debt Administration

The agency had outstanding bonds payable of \$2,191,499 and \$2,148,605 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The net increases in 2015 and 2014 were partially attributable to accretion of principal on capital appreciation bonds totaling \$48,547 and \$59,511, respectively. The remainder of the change in each year is attributable to the bond refunding transaction in FY15 and principal payments of \$36,890 in FY14.

All of the agency's toll, fees, fines and development impact fee revenue, less certain expenses, as defined in the indentures of trust, is pledged to repay these bonds. The agency has several debt covenants contained in the master indentures of trust. Management of the agency represents that the agency was in compliance with all of its covenants as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Economic Factors

After consideration of the toll rate recommendations from the agency's traffic consultant and the potential effects of traffic diversion, toll rates were approved by the agency's Board of Directors for implementation effective July 1, 2015. The new toll rates are projected to result in a 3.1% increase in transactional toll revenue and reflect increases of 2% for FasTrak® toll rates and maintenance of the \$1.00 increment above the FasTrak® rates for non-FasTrak transactions.

The agency continues to focus on customer incentives and promotions to attract new drivers, reward current customers, and increase total transactions and revenue.

Management's Discussion and Analysis

June 30, 2015 and 2014

(In thousands)

Contacting the Agency's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the agency's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to the Controller, San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, 125 Pacifica, Suite 100, Irvine, CA 92618 or to info@thetollroads.com.

Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Assets:	
Current assets: Cash and investments	29,114
Restricted cash and investments	71,949
Receivables:	
Accounts, net of allowance of \$2,906	3,291
Other Due from Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency	210 6,444
Other assets	775
Total current assets	111,783
Noncurrent assets:	
Cash and investments	6,546
Restricted cash and investments	223,882
Capital assets, net	6,422
Total noncurrent assets	236,850
Deferred outflows of resources:	100.050
Unamortized deferral of bond refunding costs Pension costs	108,078 375
Total assets and deferred outflows	457,086
	437,000
Liabilities: Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	1,339
Unearned revenue	6,623
Employee compensated absences payable	182
Interest payable	32,270
Current portion of bonds payable	37,241
Total current liabilities	77,655
Net pension liability	3,126
Arbitrage rebate payable	1,205
Long-term bonds payable Note payable to Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency	2,154,258 120,195
Total liabilities	2,356,439
Deferred inflows of resources:	77
Pension costs	432
Total liabilities and deferred inflows	2,356,871
Net position:	
Net investment in capital assets	(2,197,194)
Restricted	262,696
Unrestricted	34,713
Total net position \$	(1,899,785)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position

Year ended June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Other revenue1Total operating revenue151,217Operating expenses: Toll compliance and customer service5,668Salaries and wages2,652Toll systems7,51Depreciation1,687Professional services5,47Insurance707Facilities rent386Total operating expenses375Total operating expenses375Total operating expenses375Total operating expenses): Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement Interest expense(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)Net position at end of year\$(1,899,785)	Operating revenue: Tolls, fees, and fines Development impact fees Other revenue		\$	147,733 3,483
Operating expenses: Toll compliance and customer service5,668 \$ salaries and wages2,652 \$ 2,652 \$ 751 \$ 1,687 \$ 751 \$ 1,687 \$ Professional services1,687 \$ 471 \$ 1,817 \$ 707 \$ Facilities rent1,687 				151.217
Salaries and wages2,652Toll systems751Depreciation1,687Professional services547Insurance707Facilities rent386Toll facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):11,133Investment income(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,776,868)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	Operating expenses:			
Toll systems751Depreciation1,687Professional services547Insurance707Facilities rent386Toll facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):1Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,776,868)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Depreciation1,687Professional services547Insurance707Facilities rent386Tolf facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):1,133Investment income(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,776,868)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Professional services547Insurance707Facilities rent386Toll facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):1,133Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Facilities rent386Toll facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):1,133Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				547
Toll facilities243Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses):1,133Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(120,000)Interest expense(120,000)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,776,868)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Marketing694Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses): Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement Interest expense(120,000)Interest expense(120,000)Interest expense(120,001)Nonoperating expenses, net Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Other operating expenses375Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses): Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement Interest expense(120,000)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported a counting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Total operating expenses13,710Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses): Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	•			
Operating income137,507Nonoperating revenue (expenses): Investment income Costs of bond refunding Arbitrage rebate Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement Interest expense1,133 (16,982) (1,205) (120,000) (123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net Change in net position(260,424) (122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548) (3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	Other operating expenses			
Nonoperating revenue (expenses): Investment income1,133 (16,982) (1,205) (120,000) (123,370)Arbitrage rebate(1,205) (120,000) (123,370)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement Interest expense(120,000) (123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424) (122,917)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548) (3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	Total operating expenses			13,710
Investment income1,133Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported(1,773,548)Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	Operating income			137,507
Costs of bond refunding(16,982)Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				1 122
Arbitrage rebate(1,205)Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Termination of Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement(120,000)Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	e			
Interest expense(123,370)Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Nonoperating expenses, net(260,424)Change in net position(122,917)Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)				
Net position at beginning of year, as previously reported Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(1,773,548)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	-		_	(260,424)
Adjustment for the cumulative effect on prior years of change in accounting principles for pensions(3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)	Change in net position			(122,917)
in accounting principles for pensions(3,320)Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted(1,776,868)		(1,773,548)		
		(3,320)	_	
Net position at end of year\$ (1,899,785)	Net position at beginning of year, as adjusted		_	(1,776,868)
	Net position at end of year		\$	(1,899,785)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Cash flows from operating activities: Cash received from toll road patrons Cash received from development impact fees Cash received from other revenue Cash payments to suppliers Cash payments to employees	\$	145,464 3,438 1 (9,218) (2,795)
Net cash provided by operating activities		136,890
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities: Cash payments for acquisition of capital assets Cash payments for interest and principal Cash paid in connection with bond refunding transaction		(2,637) (42,423) (157,645)
Net cash used for capital and related financing activities		(202,705)
Cash flows from investing activities: Cash receipts for interest and dividends Cash receipts from the maturity and sale of investments Cash payments for purchase of investments		3,993 447,087 (332,532)
Net cash provided by investing activities		118,548
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		52,733
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	_	52,720
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year (note 4)	\$	105,453
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities: Operating income Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$	137,507
Depreciation Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		1,687
Accounts receivable Fees receivable Due from Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Other assets Accounts payable Unearned revenue Net pension liability and related accounts Employee compensated absences payable		(1,221) (45) (1,342) (3) 157 294 (137) (7)
Total adjustments		(617)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	136,890

Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Noncash capital and related financing and investing activities:	
Bond refunding, including the following elements:	
Face amounts of new bonds issued §	1,392,760
Bond premium	78,347
Transaction costs charged to expense	(16,982)
Escrow deposits to repay principal and accrued interest on refunded bonds	(1,499,944)
Bond refunding costs recorded as deferred outflows of resources	(111,826)
Note payable to Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency	120,000
Amortization of bond premium recorded as reduction of interest expense	1,500
Amortization of deferred bond refunding costs	(3,748)
Interest expense recorded for accretion of bonds and note payable	(48,547)
Change in unrealized gain/loss on investments	281
Amortization of discount/premium on investments	(1,473)
Arbitrage rebate	1,205

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(1) **Reporting Entity**

In recognition of the regional transportation needs in the County of Orange (County), the California State Legislature enacted various amendments to the California Government Code to authorize the financing of bridges and major thoroughfares by joint powers agencies. Pursuant to such authorization, the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency (the agency) was created in May 1986 by a joint exercise of powers agreement. Current members are the County and the cities of Aliso Viejo, Costa Mesa, Dana Point, Irvine, Laguna Hills, Laguna Niguel, Laguna Woods, Mission Viejo, Newport Beach, San Clemente, San Juan Capistrano, and Santa Ana (collectively, the member agencies). The purpose of the agency is to plan, design, construct, finance, administer funds for, and operate the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor. The agency is governed by a Board of Directors comprising representatives from the member agencies. The agency has the power to, among other things, incur debt and establish and collect tolls.

The financial statements comprise the activities of the agency. There are no other organizations for which the agency is financially accountable or for which it is fiscally responsible. The agency and the Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency (F/ETCA) are under common management and together are called the Transportation Corridor Agencies. However, each agency has an independent governing board.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the agency are in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) applicable to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing accounting and financial reporting principles.

(a) Basis of Presentation

The agency records revenue in part from fees and other charges for services to external users and, accordingly, has chosen to present its financial statements using the reporting model for special-purpose governments engaged in business-type activities. This model allows all financial information for the agency to be reported in a single column in each of the accompanying financial statements.

The agency distinguishes operating revenue and expenses from nonoperating items in the preparation of its financial statements. Operating revenue and expenses generally result from the collection of tolls, fees, and fines on the corridor. The agency's operating expenses include depreciation, materials, services, and other expenses related to the operation of the corridor. All revenue and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenue and expenses.

(b) Basis of Accounting

The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized when incurred. During the year ended June 30, 2015, the agency implemented GASB Statements No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*; No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*;

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

and No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68.

Restricted resources are used in accordance with the agency's master indentures of trust. Unrestricted resources are used at the agency's discretion. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the agency's policy to determine on a case-by-case basis when to use restricted or unrestricted resources.

(c) Budget

Fiscal year budgets are prepared by the agency's staff for estimated revenue and expenses. The Board of Directors adopts the annual budget at the June board meeting for the fiscal year commencing the following July. The approval of the budget requires the consent of at least two-thirds of the board members. No expenditures in excess of the total budget for each board-designated category are made without the approval of at least two-thirds of the board members, at which time a revised and amended budget is required to be submitted to the Board of Directors. All budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with U.S. GAAP. All budget appropriations lapse at year-end. Any budgeted expenses not incurred by each year-end must be reappropriated in the next fiscal year.

(d) Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents generally consist of cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

(e) Investments

Investments are stated at fair value, generally based on quoted market prices.

The agency classifies investments as current or noncurrent based on how readily the investment is expected to be converted to cash and whether any restrictions limit the agency's ability to use the resources.

(f) Receivables

Receivables include amounts due from member agencies for development impact fees collected on behalf of the agency, credit card receivables, interoperable receivables due from other California toll agencies, receivables from patrons for violations and tolls, and interest.

(g) Capital Assets

Capital assets include construction in progress, environmental mitigation sites, easements, the corridor operations facility, transponders, toll and violations collection equipment, buildings, changeable message signs, vehicles, and furniture. Capital assets are defined by the agency as assets with an initial individual cost of more than five thousand dollars, with the exception of transponders that are valued in total, and an estimated useful life in excess of one year.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

As described further in note 5, the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor and the related purchases of rights of way, for which title vests with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), are not included as capital assets because the agency does not have title to these assets. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs and mitigation that do not add value to the assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Capital assets are recorded at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Asset type	Useful life
Buildings	20–30 years
Changeable message signs	15 years
Toll revenue equipment	5 years
Vehicles	5 years
Leasehold improvements, other	
equipment, and furniture	5–10 years

Assets determined to be impaired are recorded at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value.

(h) Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenue represents prepaid tolls collected from patrons, including those using FasTrak®, an electronic toll collection system.

(i) Unamortized Deferral of Bond Refunding Costs

Deferred bond refunding costs represent certain costs related to the issuance of the 2014 Bonds. These costs have been recorded as deferred outflows of resources, and are being amortized over the remaining period during which the refunded bonds were scheduled to be repaid, as more fully detailed in note 6.

(j) Pension Plan

Qualified permanent employees of the agency participate in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Orange County Employees Retirement System (OCERS). For purposes of measuring the agency's net pension liability, deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the plan and additions to/deductions from the plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by OCERS. For this purpose, plan contributions are recognized when they are due and payable in accordance with plan terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

(k) Revenue Recognition

Toll revenue is recognized at the time the vehicle passes through the toll plaza. Other revenue is recognized when earned.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(1) Allocation of Common Costs

Expenses directly related entirely to the agency are charged to the agency, and those incurred on behalf of both the agency and F/ETCA are allocated between the two agencies based on the estimated benefit to each. In addition, the agency has amounts due from F/ETCA related to F/ETCA customers who incur tolls on the agency's corridor and has amounts due to F/ETCA related to the agency's customers who incur tolls on State Routes 241, 261, and 133 and other expenses. At June 30, 2015, the agency had net receivables due from F/ETCA of \$6,444.

(m) Net Position

The agency's net position is classified within the following categories:

Net investment in capital assets: Represents the agency's capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and the outstanding principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, and improvement of those assets.

Restricted: Represents the agency's assets subject to externally imposed conditions, related primarily to restricted bond proceeds and certain revenue collected, net of related liabilities.

Unrestricted: Represents the remainder of the agency's net position not included in the categories above.

(n) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(3) Development Impact Fees

The sources of development impact fees for the year ended June 30, 2015 were as follows:

City of Irvine	\$ 1,328
City of San Clemente	1,259
City of Laguna Hills	375
City of Laguna Niguel	219
City of Aliso Viejo	198
City of Dana Point	153
City of Newport Beach	125
County of Orange	115
City of Costa Mesa	81
City of San Juan Capistrano – net refund	 (370)
	\$ 3,483

(4) Cash and Investments

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2015 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Current cash and investments	\$ 29,114
Noncurrent cash and investments	6,546
Current restricted cash and investments	71,949
Noncurrent restricted cash and investments	 223,882
	\$ 331,491

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

	_	Cash and cash equivalents	Investments	Total
Cash on hand	\$	1	_	1
Deposit accounts		3,727		3,727
Money market funds		16,228		16,228
Commercial paper			8,761	8,761
U.S. Treasury securities			5,449	5,449
Federal agency securities			15,840	15,840
State and local bonds		—	4,770	4,770
Investments held with trustee per debt				
agreements:				
Commercial paper			599	599
U.S. Treasury securities			165,821	165,821
Federal agency securities		85,497	15,716	101,213
State and local bonds			9,082	9,082
Total	\$	105,453	226,038	331,491

(a) Cash Deposits

Custodial Credit Risk Related to Cash Deposits

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of failure of a depository financial institution, the agency will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party.

At June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of the agency's deposits was \$3,727, and the corresponding aggregate bank balance was \$4,792. The difference of \$1,065 was principally due to outstanding checks and deposits in transit. The agency's custodial credit risk is mitigated in that the full amounts of the bank balances outlined above were insured by federal depository insurance or collateralized in accordance with Section 53652 of the California Government Code with securities held by the pledging financial institution in the agency's name.

(b) Investments

Credit Risk and Concentration of Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of an investment in a single issuer. The agency mitigates these risks by holding a diversified portfolio of high-quality investments. The agency's investment policy sets specific parameters by type of investment for credit quality,

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

maximum maturity, and maximum percentage investment. Both the policy and the agency's debt agreements generally require that all securities must be issued by companies with a long-term debt rating of at least "A" by two of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSRO) or at least "AA" by one NRSRO. The policy also indicates specific rating requirements for certain types of investments. Further, there are percentage limitations on the purchase of specific types of securities, based on the purchase price of the security as compared to the market value of the total portfolio at the time of purchase. However, the policy does not require sales of individual securities due to subsequent changes in market value that cause their values to exceed the prescribed maximum percentages of the portfolio.

The table below identifies the types of investments that are authorized by the agency's investment policy and certain provisions of the agency's policy that address interest rate risk and concentration of credit risk. This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by the bond trustee, which are governed by the provisions of the agency's debt agreements rather than by the agency's investment policy.

Authorized investment type		Maximum maturity	Maximum percentage of portfolio*	Maximum percentage investment in one issuer	Specific rating requirement
U.S. Treasury bills, notes, and bonds		5 years	100	100	N/A
Federal agency and U.S. government-sponsored enterprise notes and bonds		5 years	100	35	N/A
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities		5 years	20	15	2nd highest ratings category by an NRSRO
Certificates of deposit	**	5 years	100	5	Long-term debt rating in one of highest ratings categories by two NRSROs
Certificates of deposit account registry service		5 years	30	5	Long-term debt rating in one of highest ratings categories by two NRSROs

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Authorized investment type	Maximum maturity	Maximum percentage of portfolio*	Maximum percentage investment in one issuer	Specific rating requirement
Negotiable certificates of deposit	5 years	30	5	Long-term debt rating in one of highest ratings categories by two NRSROs
Banker's acceptances	180 days	30	5	Drawn on and accepted by a bank that carries the highest short-term ratings category by one NRSRO
Commercial paper	270 days	25	Lesser of 5% of portfolio or 10% of outstanding paper of issuer	Highest short-term rating by an NRSRO
Repurchase agreements	90 days	25	5	N/A
Medium-term maturity corporate notes	5 years	30	5	Long-term debt rating in one of highest ratings categories by two NRSROs
State of California Local Agency Investment Fund	N/A	Lesser of \$50 million or 15% of portfolio	5	N/A
County or local agency investment pools	N/A	15	5	N/A
Shares in a California common law trust	N/A	20	5	Highest rating category by an NRSRO
Asset-backed securities	5 years	20	5	Highest rating by one NRSRO; issuer must also have one of the three highest ratings from two NRSROs

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Authorized investment type	Maximum maturity	Maximum percentage of portfolio*	Maximum percentage investment in one issuer	Specific rating requirement
Money market mutual funds	N/A	20	5	Highest applicable rating by two NRSROs
Bonds or notes issued by the State of California, any local agency in the state, or any other state	5 years	30	5	One of the three highest rating categories by at least two NRSROs

* Excluding amounts held by trustee, which are subject to provisions of the bond indentures.

** The full amounts of principal and accrued interest must be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

The investment of debt proceeds and toll revenue held by the agency's bond trustee is governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than by the general provisions of the California Government Code or the agency's investment policy. The following table identifies the investment types that are authorized for these funds, and if applicable, the specific rating requirements.

Investments authorized by debt agreements	Specific rating requirement
U.S. government obligations	N/A
U.S. federal agency debt instruments	N/A
State and local government debt securities	One of the two highest rating categories by Moody's and S&P, and if rated by Fitch, in one of the two highest rating categories
Certificates of deposit, savings accounts, deposit accounts, or money market deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)	N/A
Certificates of deposit collateralized by U.S. government or federal agency obligations	N/A
Federal funds or bankers' acceptances	Prime-1 or A3 or better by Moody's, A-1 or A or better by S&P and, if rated by Fitch, F-1 or A or better

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

Investments authorized by debt agreements	Specific rating requirement
Commercial paper	Prime-1 or better by Moody's and A-1 or better by S&P and, if rated by Fitch, F-1 or better
Repurchase agreements with terms up to 30 days, secured by U.S. government or federal agency obligations	A or better by both Moody's and S&P and, if rated by Fitch, A or better
Medium-term corporate notes with maximum maturity of five years	One of the three highest applicable rating categories, or approved in writing, by S&P, and, if rated by Fitch, one of the three highest applicable rating categories
Money market mutual funds	AAAm-G, AAA-m, or AA-m by S&P and, if rated by Moody's, Aaa, Aa1 or Aa2 and, if rated by Fitch, AAA or AA
Investment agreements *	N/A
* Investments may be allowed if the agency ca	rtifies to the tructed that the investment was

* Investments may be allowed if the agency certifies to the trustee that the investment was approved in writing by each rating agency, which has assigned a rating to the agency's bonds, and by the agency's bond insurer.

At June 30, 2015, all of the agency's investments were rated at or above the minimum levels required by its investment policy and its debt agreements, as shown below:

Investment type	S&P	Moody's
U.S. Treasury bills	AA+	Aaa
U.S. Treasury notes	AA+	Aaa
U.S. Treasury State and Local Government Series (SLGS)	AA+	Aaa
U.S. federal agency bonds *	AA+/A-1+	Aaa/ P-1
Money market funds	AAAm	
Commercial paper:		
Abbey National LLC	A-1	P-1
General Electric Capital	A-1+	P-1
Toyota Motor Credit Corp	A-1+	P-1
State and local bonds:		
San Francisco Bay Area Toll Authority	AA	Aa3
Indiana State Revenue	AA+	Aal
Missouri State Revenue	AAA	Aaa
University of California Regents Revenue	AA	Aa2

* Ratings are indicated to the extent available. However, in some instances, discounted federal agency bonds are not rated.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(c) Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that the agency will not be able to recover the value of investment securities that are in the possession of an outside party. All securities owned by the agency are deposited in the agency's trustee bank with the exception of a money market account that is deposited in the agency's primary bank. Securities are not held in broker accounts.

(d) Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The agency mitigates this risk by matching maturity dates, to the extent possible, with the agency's expected cash flow.

A summary of the agency's investments held at June 30, 2015 that are governed by the agency's investment policy and its bond agreements, including money market funds of \$16,228 and Federal agency securities of \$85,497 that are considered cash equivalents, is as follows:

	Remaining maturity (in years)				
Investment type	 Fair value	Less than one	One to two	Two to five	More than five
U.S. Treasury SLGS	\$ 155,557	_	_	_	155,557
Federal agency securities	117,053	112,551	4,502	_	_
Money market funds	16,228	16,228	_	_	_
U.S. Treasury notes	15,713	5,103	5,057	5,553	_
State and local bonds	13,852	13,852	_	_	_
Commercial paper	 9,360	9,360			
Total	\$ 327,763	157,094	9,559	5,553	155,557

At June 30, 2015, with the exception of investments issued or explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government and money market mutual funds, there were no investments in any issuers that accounted for 5% or more of the agency's total investments except for the following: Federal Farm Credit Bank, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and Federal Home Loan Bank. Investments in these issuers represented 15%, 9%, and 7%, respectively, of the agency's total investments, as permitted by the agency's investment policy and the applicable bond indentures.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(5) Capital Assets

Capital assets activity for the year ended June 30, 2015 was as follows:

	ا 	Balance at beginning of year	Additions	Transfers/ deletions	Balance at end of year
Construction in progress Right-of-way acquisitions, grading,	\$	—	795	(795)	_
or improvements		119		—	119
Furniture and equipment	_	12,063	1,645	(438)	13,270
		12,182	2,440	(1,233)	13,389
Accumulated depreciation		(5,718)	(1,687)	438	(6,967)
	\$	6,464	753	(795)	6,422

Right-of-way acquisitions, grading, and improvements include easements and environmental mitigation parcels. Furniture and equipment include transponders, toll and violations collection equipment, buildings, vehicles, and leasehold improvements.

Transfer of Ownership

Ownership of the San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor construction, rights-of-way, grading, and improvements was transferred to Caltrans during the year ended June 30, 1997, upon satisfaction of all conditions contained within the Cooperative Agreement between the agency and Caltrans. The agency incurs additional costs for improvements and enhancements to the thoroughfares previously transferred to Caltrans. These improvements and enhancements are covered by separate project-specific Cooperative Agreements with Caltrans. They are transferred to Caltrans on an ongoing basis and recognized as contribution expense.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(6) Long-Term Obligations

Following is a summary of changes in long-term obligations during the year ended June 30, 2015:

	Balance at beginning of period	Additions/ accretions	Reductions	Balance at end of period	Due within one year
Series 2014 toll road refunding revenue bonds:					
Current interest bonds \$ Series 1997A toll road refunding revenue bonds:	—	1,392,760	_	1,392,760	33,710
Current interest bonds Convertible capital	604,885	—	(604,885)	—	_
appreciation bonds Restructured convertible capital appreciation	250,000	—	(250,000)	_	—
bonds	508,201	30,373	_	538,574	_
Capital appreciation bonds Series 1993 Senior Lien toll road revenue bonds:	565,339	17,979	(400,000)	183,318	3,531
Current interest bonds	220,180		(220,180)		
Subtotal	2,148,605	1,441,112	(1,475,065)	2,114,652	37,241
Plus unamortized premium on 2014 bonds		78,347	(1,500)	76,847	
Total bonds payable	2,148,605	1,519,459	(1,476,565)	2,191,499	37,241
Note payable to F/ETCA		120,195		120,195	
Total long-term obligations \$	2,148,605	1,639,654	(1,476,565)	2,311,694	37,241

(a) Toll Road Revenue Bonds

In October 1997, the agency issued serial, term, and capital appreciation toll road refunding revenue bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$1,448,274, consisting of current interest bonds of \$604,885, convertible capital appreciation bonds of \$404,289, and capital appreciation bonds of \$439,100 (collectively, the 1997 Bonds). The 1997 Bonds were subordinate to remaining outstanding 1993 bonds of \$220,180 and were collateralized by net revenue, as defined in the master indentures, consisting primarily of toll revenue less current expenses.

In May 2011, bondholders consented to amending the master indentures and approved a supplemental indenture to amend certain terms of \$430 million of the convertible capital appreciation bonds (Restructured Bonds) that had maturity dates in 2018, 2020, 2022, 2023, and 2024. The primary change in terms for these bonds was to extend the originally scheduled maturity dates to 2037, 2038, 2040,

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

2041, and 2042, respectively. The Restructured Bonds ceased to bear interest on July 15, 2011 and a 10-year accretion period through July 15, 2021 began during which interest on the bonds was scheduled to accrue at the same rates, ranging from 5.65% to 5.75% compounded semiannually, as had applied prior to the amendment. Commencing January 15, 2022, interest on the accreted value of the bonds was scheduled to be payable semiannually. The bonds were scheduled to mature in annual installments from January 15, 2037 to 2042, subject to early redemption from mandatory sinking fund payments beginning January 15, 2037 by payment of accrued interest and principal with no premium. In connection with the 2014 transaction described below, the terms of the Restructured Bonds were amended to provide for interest rates that range from 5.90% to 6.00%; adjusted maturity dates that range from January 15, 2038 to 2046; and an increase of \$12,400 in the aggregate maturity value, to \$768,700.

In November 2014, the agency issued \$1,098,850 of Series 2014 Senior Lien Current Interest Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds (2014 Senior Bonds) and \$293,910 of Junior Lien Current Interest Toll Road Refunding Revenue Bonds (2014 Junior Bonds) (collectively, the 2014 Bonds); the proceeds of the issuance were used to refund the outstanding balances of the 1993 bonds, at par value plus accrued interest; the 1997 current interest bonds, at par value plus accrued interest; the 1997 current interest bonds, at par value plus accrued interest; the 1997 current interest bonds, at par value plus accrued interest; the 1997 current of 1.0%; and a portion of the 1997 capital appreciation bonds, at accreted value of \$400,000 plus a redemption premium of \$109,326.

As a result of this transaction, the ultimate maturity of the agency's long-term debt obligations has been extended from 2042 to 2050. The reacquisition price of the refunded bonds exceeded their net carrying amount by \$111,826; this amount is considered a deferred loss for accounting purposes, and is being amortized through 2036, the remaining period during which the refunded bonds were scheduled to be repaid. In addition, the agency incurred other transaction costs of \$16,982, which were recorded as expense. The 2014 Bonds were issued at a premium of \$78,347, which is being amortized over the life of the bonds. In connection with this transaction, total scheduled debt service payments increased by \$793,761, and the agency realized an economic gain (as measured by the difference in present value of the scheduled debt service payments on the old and new debt) of approximately \$44 million.

The 2014 Senior Bonds are scheduled to mature in installments from January 2016 through January 2050, and interest is payable semiannually at 5.00%. The 2014 Junior Bonds are scheduled to mature in installments from January 2037 through January 2049, and interest is payable semiannually at 5.25%. The 2014 Senior Bonds scheduled to mature after 2028 and the 2014 Junior Bonds are subject to early redemption on or after January 15, 2025, at the option of the agency, by payment of principal and accrued interest.

The 1997 convertible capital appreciation bonds not amended by the supplemental indenture accrued interest at rates ranging from 5.60% to 5.75% compounded semiannually, through January 15, 2007. Interest was payable semiannually based on accreted value of the bonds on that date. The bonds were scheduled to mature in installments during 2016, 2017, 2019, and 2021. The bonds were subject to

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

early redemption, at the option of the agency, beginning January 15, 2014 by payment of accrued interest, principal, and a premium of up to 2.00%.

The remaining outstanding balance of the 1997 capital appreciation bonds accrues interest at rates ranging from 4.20% to 5.67% compounded semiannually. The bonds mature in annual installments through January 15, 2036.

A portion of the Series 1997 bonds was used to purchase U.S. government securities, which were placed in an irrevocable escrow fund to be used for the debt service payments related to the refunded portion of the 1993 bonds. As of June 30, 2015, the amount of the refunded bonds outstanding, which was previously eliminated from the financial statements as a result of the refunding, is \$880,350.

Included in principal at June 30, 2015 is \$395,822 related to accreted principal on convertible capital appreciation bonds and capital appreciation bonds.

(b) Debt Compliance

In connection with the 2011 amendment of the master indenture discussed above in note 6(a), the debt service coverage ratio was modified from 1.3x to 1.0x and allowance was made for the use of cash available in reserves to meet the debt service coverage ratio requirement, along with a requirement to maximize net toll revenue on an annual basis. These requirements were further modified in connection with the issuance of the 2014 Bonds, including the institution of debt service coverage ratio requirements of 1.3x for the Senior Lien Bonds and 1.1x for the Junior Lien Bonds and the elimination of the toll revenue maximization requirement.

The master indentures of trust require the trustee to hold the bond proceeds, toll revenue, and any other proceeds included in pledged funds for debt service. These moneys are included in restricted cash and investments.

(c) Note Payable to F/ETCA

On November 10, 2005, the agency's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors of F/ETCA entered into a Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement (the Agreement). The terms of the Agreement called for F/ETCA to make payments to the agency totaling \$120,000 over four years to mitigate for the anticipated loss of revenue due to the construction of the 241 completion project. All scheduled payments totaling \$120,000 were made by F/ETCA as of June 2009. In addition, F/ETCA committed to provide loans, subject to the terms of the Agreement, on an as needed basis, up to \$1,040,000 to assist the agency in achieving its debt service coverage ratio. However, no amounts were borrowed.

The Agreement was designed to meet the near term needs of each agency while preserving the flexibility to continue to pursue alternatives. The Agreement provided that F/ETCA loans would be made only to the extent that surplus revenue was available and all findings and determinations required by law were met, including California Government Code Section 66484.3, paragraph (f), which required that the following findings must be met before F/ETCA could make a loan: 1) F/ETCA will benefit mutually financially by sharing and/or loaning revenue with the agency, 2) F/ETCA possesses

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

adequate financial resources to fund all costs of construction of existing and future projects that it plans to undertake prior to the final maturity of the loan, and 3) funding the loan will not materially impair F/ETCA's financial condition or operations during the term of the loan. The agency's obligation to repay the loans was, in turn, secured by and payable only from its toll stabilization and surplus revenue funds. The Agreement also stipulated that F/ETCA would not be obligated to make loans to the agency prior to securing the necessary funds for constructing the 241 completion project unless F/ETCA has determined that it would not build the project. If the commencement and diligent pursuit of the construction of the 241 completion project did not occur by June 30, 2015, the mitigation payments would be added to the principal amount of the loan.

On August 14, 2014, the agency's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors of F/ETCA approved an agreement that provided for termination of the Mitigation Payment and Loan Agreement concurrently with the closing of the refinance transaction described above in note 6(a). The termination agreement also provided for the agency to pay \$120,000 to F/ETCA, in annual installments beginning January 15, 2025 equal to 50% of the agency's surplus funds as defined in the agreement. Interest accrual based on the average annual yield of the State of California Pooled Money Investment Account commenced upon closing of the transaction and interest is payable annually beginning January 15, 2025.

(d) Scheduled Debt Service

Following is a summary of the annual debt service requirements by fiscal year for the agency's long-term debt obligations, exclusive of the note payable to F/ETCA and related interest, as of June 30, 2015:

			Junior lien	
	Principal	Interest ⁽¹⁾	interest ⁽¹⁾	Total
\$	37,241	54,204	15,430	106,875
	21,485	53,126	15,430	90,041
	3,258	52,847	15,430	71,535
	3,453	53,097	15,430	71,980
	858	52,607	15,430	68,895
	91,265	500,923	77,151	669,339
	134,952	518,624	77,151	730,727
	202,435	505,548	77,151	785,134
	348,224	459,217	73,572	881,013
	571,698	309,675	49,143	930,516
_	699,783	183,078	13,402	896,263
\$	2,114,652	2,742,946	444,720	5,302,318
	_	\$ 37,241 21,485 3,258 3,453 858 91,265 134,952 202,435 348,224 571,698 699,783	\$ 37,241 54,204 21,485 53,126 3,258 52,847 3,453 53,097 858 52,607 91,265 500,923 134,952 518,624 202,435 505,548 348,224 459,217 571,698 309,675 699,783 183,078	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Principal & Interest^{(1)} & interest^{(1)} \\ \hline $ interest^{(1)} & 54,204 & 15,430 \\ 21,485 & 53,126 & 15,430 \\ 3,258 & 52,847 & 15,430 \\ 3,453 & 53,097 & 15,430 \\ 858 & 52,607 & 15,430 \\ 91,265 & 500,923 & 77,151 \\ 134,952 & 518,624 & 77,151 \\ 202,435 & 505,548 & 77,151 \\ 348,224 & 459,217 & 73,572 \\ 571,698 & 309,675 & 49,143 \\ 699,783 & 183,078 & 13,402 \\ \hline \end{array}$

(1) Includes payments scheduled on January 1 and January 15 of the indicated fiscal year and July 1 and July 15 of the following fiscal year, to coincide with the annual debt service calculations used for covenant compliance purposes.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(7) Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Toll Collection and Revenue Management System Agreements

The agency and F/ETCA have entered into agreements with various contractors for various services, including toll collection systems operation and maintenance. The agreements expire on various dates through June 30, 2025 and are generally cancelable by the agency, without further obligation, with advance written notice.

(b) Corridor Operations Facility Lease

In January 2000, the agency relocated to the corridor operations facility and signed a lease agreement with F/ETCA. Lease payments are based on the estimated fair market rental value and are adjusted annually. The agency incurred lease expense for the year ended June 30, 2015 of \$386. The agency's commitment for the year ending June 30, 2016 is \$471.

(c) Commitment

The agency has agreed with Caltrans to provide a maintenance facility for State Route 73. As of June 30, 2015, the agency has earmarked approximately \$8 million for this project.

(d) Litigation

The agency is a defendant in various legal actions. Management believes that the ultimate resolution of these actions will not have a significant effect on the agency's financial position or results of operations.

(e) Risk Management

The agency maintains insurance coverage for various risks including but not limited to property, liability, earthquake, and flood coverage. Coverage is purchased in accordance with the agency's master indentures of trust, as applicable.

(8) Employees' Retirement Plans

<u>Defined Benefit Plan</u> – Qualified permanent employees of the agency participate in a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by OCERS, a public employee retirement system established in 1945. The Plan is subject to the provisions of the County Employees Retirement Law of 1937 (California Government Code Section 31450 et. seq.); the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (Government Code Section 7522 et. seq.); and other applicable statutes.

(a) Benefits

The Plan provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to eligible plan members and their beneficiaries. Monthly retirement benefits are determined by benefit formulas that depend upon the classification of employees; the date of entering membership in OCERS or a reciprocal plan; retirement age; years of service; and final average compensation. The agency's members hired prior

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

to January 1, 2013 are subject to a benefit formula of 2.0% of final average compensation per year of service, based upon retirement at age 55. Members hired on or after January 1, 2013 are subject to a benefit formula of 2.5% at 67.

Amounts payable for retired members are subject to annual cost-of-living adjustments based upon changes in the Consumer Price Index for the prior calendar year. Adjustments are limited to a maximum increase or decrease of 3% per year.

(b) Contributions

Employer and employee contribution requirements are determined as percentages of covered payroll amounts and vary based upon the age of each employee at the date of entering membership in OCERS or a reciprocal plan. Employer contribution rates are determined using the entry age normal actuarial cost method based upon a level percentage of payroll. For the year ended December 31, 2014, employer contribution rates ranged from 21.04% to 57.28%. Employee contributions are established by the OCERS Board of Retirement and guided by applicable state statutes. For the year ended December 31, 2014, employee contribution rates ranged from 8.93% to 15.63%. The amount of contributions from the agency recognized by the plan, measured as the total amount recognized as additions to the plan's fiduciary net position for the period ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, was \$384 and \$367, which was 100% of the required contribution, and represented 21% and 19.2% of the agency's covered-employee payroll, respectively.

(c) Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

For purposes of reporting under GASB Statement No. 68, OCERS arranged for determination of the plan's collective net pension liability; deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions; and pension expense, as well as the proportionate share of each amount applicable to the plan's participating employers, using measurement dates of December 31, 2014 and 2013, with respective actuarial valuations as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 and standard procedures to roll forward to the respective measurement dates. The agency's reporting dates are June 30, 2015 and 2014. The proportionate shares of these amounts attributable to the Transportation Corridor Agencies have been determined by OCERS's actuary based upon actual employer contributions within each rate group and further allocated between the agency and F/ETCA on the basis of their respective shares of covered payroll to determine the amounts reportable by the agency, as indicated below:

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

			Percentage of collective amount	 Covered employee payroll
Collective net pension liability at				
December 31, 2014	\$	5,082,481	100.00%	
Proportionate share attributable to				
Transportation Corridor Agencies		10,683	0.21%	\$ 6,121
Share allocable to San Joaquin Hills				
Transportation Corridor Agency		3,126	0.06%	1,832
Agency's share of collective net pension lia	hility	as a percentage o	ofits	
covered-employee payroll	ciiity	as a personage o		171%

Plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability 69.42%

			Percentage of collective amount	 Covered employee payroll
Collective net pension liability at December 31, 2013 Proportionate share attributable to	\$	5,291,126	100.00%	
Transportation Corridor Agencies Share allocable to San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency		11,359 3,320	0.21% 0.06%	6,551 1,915
Agency's share of collective net pension lial covered-employee payroll Plan's fiduciary net position as a percentage	-			173% 67.16%
Collective deferred outflows of resources Proportionate share attributable to Trar Share allocable to San Joaquin Hills Tr	nsport	ation Corridor A	gencies	\$ 389,055 453 136
Collective deferred inflows of resources a Proportionate share attributable to Trar Share allocable to San Joaquin Hills Tr	isport	ation Corridor A		538,504 1,443 432
Collective pension expense for the year en Proportionate share attributable to Tran Share allocable to San Joaquin Hills Tr	isport	ation Corridor A		566,324 1,594 477

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

The agency's deferred outflows of resources related to pensions as of June 30, 2015 are attributable to the following:

Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan		
investments	\$	136
Contributions to the plan subsequent to the measurement date of the		
collective net pension liability	_	239
Total deferred outflows related to pensions	\$	375

The agency's deferred inflows of resources related to pensions as of June 30, 2015 are attributable to the following:

Differences between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions or other inputs	\$ 190 242
Total deferred inflows related to pensions	\$ 432

The amount of \$239 representing the agency's balance of deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2016. The other amounts of the agency's balances of deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources as of June 30, 2015 will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ending June 30:	
2016	\$ 49
2017	49
2018	49
2019	49
2020	85
2021	15
	\$ 296

(d) Actuarial Assumptions and Other Inputs

The following significant methods and assumptions were used to measure the plan's total pension liability as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

- Actuarial experience study Three-year period ended December 31, 2013
- Inflation rate 3.00% for 2014; 3.25% for 2013

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

- Projected salary increases 4.25% to 17.50% for 2014; 4.75% to 17.75% for 2013, depending upon service and nature of employment
- Cost of living adjustments 3.00%

The mortality assumptions for December 31, 2014 were based on the results of the actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013 using the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected with the Society of Actuaries Scale BB to 2020. The mortality assumptions for December 31, 2013 were based on the results of the actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2010 using the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table. The mortality assumptions were then customized to account for the plan's membership experience.

The discount rate used to measure the plan's total pension liability of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was 7.25%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rates and that employer contributions will be made at actuarially determined rates. Based on those assumptions, the plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability. The long-term expected rate of return assumption is net of administrative expenses, assumed to be 16 basis points. The long-term expected future real rates of return are developed for each major asset class. These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentages and by adding expected inflation and deducting expected investment expenses.

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

The target allocation and projected arithmetic real rate of return for each major asset class, after deducting inflation but before deducting investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

Asset class	Target allocation	Long-term expected real rate of return
Large Cap U.S. Equity	14.90%	5.92%
Small/Mid Cap U.S. Equity	2.73	6.49
Developed International Equity	10.88	6.90
Emerging International Equity	6.49	8.34
Core Bonds	10.00	0.73
Global Bonds	2.00	0.30
Emerging Market Debt	3.00	4.00
Real Estate	10.00	4.96
Diversified Credit (U.S.)	8.00	4.97
Diversified Credit (Non-U.S.)	2.00	6.76
Hedge Funds	7.00	4.13
GTĂĂ	7.00	4.22
Real return	10.00	5.86
Private equity	6.00	9.60
Total	100.00%	

The following table presents the agency's proportionate share of the plan's net pension liability as of December 31, 2014, calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what its proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate one percentage point lower (6.25%) or one percentage point higher (8.25%) than the current discount rate:

Net pension liability, calculated:	
With current discount rate of 7.25%	\$ 3,126
With a 1% decrease, to 6.25%	4,673
With a 1% increase, to 8.25%	1,984

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2015

(In thousands)

(e) Plan's Fiduciary Net Position

OCERS provides publicly available financial information, including comprehensive annual financial reports and actuarial valuations, on the following website: www.ocers.org. Detailed information about the plan's fiduciary net position is included in the comprehensive annual financial report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, which may also be obtained by calling (714) 558-6200.

(f) Employee Contributions

As described above, plan members contribute a percentage of their annual covered salaries at actuarially determined rates based on the age of entry into the plan. For employees who were hired prior to January 1, 2013, the agency paid up to 7% of each employee's required contribution through June 30, 2013. However, this percentage was reduced to 4.5% as of July 1, 2013 and to 2.0% as of July 1, 2014. As of July 1, 2015, the agency's payments toward the employees' required contributions have been fully eliminated. In addition to the pension expense determined in accordance with the requirements of GASB No. 68 as described above, the agency incurred expense of \$26 for the year ended June 30, 2015 related to its subsidization of employee contributions.

<u>Defined Contribution Plan</u> – The agency also sponsors a defined contribution plan under the provisions of Internal Revenue Code Section 457 that permits employees to defer portions of their pre-tax compensation. The agency provides matching contributions to a related Section 401(a) plan, at a rate of 50% of the employees' deferral contributions, up to a maximum of 2% of each employee's related compensation. In connection with this plan, the agency incurred \$24 of expense for the year ended June 30, 2015.

File No. 2015J-019

X TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SAN JOAQUIN HILLS OPS & FINANCE COMMITTEE FOOTHILL/EASTERN OPS & FINANCE COMMITTEE X SAN JOAQUIN HILLS BOARD OF DIRECTORS

X FOOTHILL/EASTERN BOARD OF DIRECTORS

BOARD MEETING DATE: May 14, 2015

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2016 Capital Improvement Plan

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Recommendation:

- 1. Approve the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the San Joaquin Hills (SR 73) Corridor;
- Direct staff to implement the San Joaquin Hills CIP as included in the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) budget in the amount of \$1.67 million.

Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Recommendation:

- Approve the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the Foothill/Eastern (SR 133, 241, 261) Corridors;
- Direct staff to implement the Foothill/Eastern CIP as included in the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) budget in the amount of \$11.84 million.

SUMMARY:

This annual update to the CIP for each agency outlines the scope, cost and schedule for the major capital improvement projects Funding levels for the FY16 budget are proposed and recommended by staff.

CONTRACTOR/CONSULTANT: N/A	COST:	SJH = \$ 1.67 M
		F/E = \$ 11.84 M

REPORT WRITTEN BY:

David Lowe, Acting Chief Engineer, (949) 754-3488

REVIEWED BY:

Engineering Environmental Communications Finance **Toll Operations**

Submitted By:

Michael A. Kraman, CEO



Transportation Corridor Agencies

125 Pacifica, Irvine, CA 92618 949/754-3400 FAX 949/754-3467

DATE:	May 14, 2015
TO:	Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Board of Directors San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Board of Directors
FROM;	David Lowe, Acting Chief Engineer
SUBJECT:	Fiscal Year 2016 Capital Improvement Plan

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Recommendation:

- 1. Approve the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the San Joaquin Hills (SR 73) Corridor;
- Direct staff to implement the San Joaquin Hills CIP as included in the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) budget in the amount of \$1.67 million.

Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Recommendation:

- Approve the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the Foothill/Eastern (SR 133, 241, 261) Corridors;
- Direct staff to implement the Foothill/Eastern CIP as included in the proposed Fiscal Year 2016 (FY16) budget in the amount of \$11.84 million.

BACKGROUND:

The Capital Improvement Plan outlines the status of all major improvement projects anticipated by the agencies. Each year the projects are updated and costs are developed for use in preparing the annual budget.

DISCUSSION:

The Capital Improvement Plan for FY2016 is attached as a separate document. Various drafts of the document were reviewed by the agencies' Technical Advisory Committee on February 26, 2015 and April 30, 2015, the F/E Mobility Ad Hoc Committee on May 6, 2015 and the full Boards at the CIP workshops of February 26, 2015.

FY16 Capital Improvement Plan May 14, 2015 Page 2 of 2 File No. 2015J-019

BUDGET:

The Capital Improvement Plan for each agency will continue to be reviewed each year during the budget process. Project priorities and the availability of funds will help determine the projects selected for implementation and the funding allocations for each project.

CONCLUSION:

This annual update to the CIP for each agency outlines the scope, cost and schedule for the major capital improvement projects Funding levels for the FY16 budget are proposed and recommended by staff.

Attachment



COMMITTEE TRANSMITTAL

May 14, 2015

TO: Members of the San Joaquin Hills Board of Directors Members of the Foothill/Eastern Board of Directors

FROM: David Lowe, Acting Chief Engineer

SUBJECT: FY2016 Capital Improvement Plan Staff Report

Special SJH Board Meeting (CIP Workshop) February 26, 2015

Present: Ross Chun, Sam Allevato, Melody Carruth, Laurie Davies, Bert Hack, Gary Monahan, Scott Peotter, Ed Sachs, Christina Shea, Todd Spitzer Absent: Scott Schoeffel, David Benavides, Kathy Ward

Special F/E Board Meeting (CIP Workshop) February 26, 2015

Present: Sam Allevato, Craig Young, Veronica Carpenter, Lucille Kring, Charles Puckett, Christina Shea, Todd Spitzer

Absent: Tony Beall, David Benavides, Mark Murphy, Shawn Nelson, Greg Raths, Scott Schoeffel, Kathy Ward, Scott Voigts

Board & Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Discussion

The Boards and TAC discussed the projects within the Capital Improvement Plan. No action was taken as this was a discussion item.

Technical Advisory Committee April 30, 2015 Present: TBD Absent: TBD

Committee Discussion TBD

F/E Mobility Ad Hoc Committee May 6, 2015 Present: TBD Absent: TBD

Committee Discussion TBD

Staff Recommendation Approve and implement the FY2016 Capital Improvement Plan





Fiscal Year 2016

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN



1621- 12



Table of Contents

Background	2
Implementation Schedule	4
Estimated Project Costs by Agency	5
Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Capital Projects	7
SR 241 Long Range Planning	9
Tesoro Extension	10
241/91 Connector	12
Wildlife Safety Fencing	14
Toll Plaza Water Supply Upgrade (Tomato Springs)	16
Signage Improvements	18
Toll Plaza Facility Improvements	20
F/ETCA Future Widening Projects	22
F/ETCA Future Interchanges	24
San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Capital Projects	26
73/405 Connector	28
Caltrans Maintenance Station	30
Bonita Channel Bicycle Trail	32
Signage Improvements	34
Toll Plaza Facility Improvements	36
SJHTCA Future Widening Projects	38
SJHTCA Future Interchanges	40
Completed Projects	42
Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Completed Projects	43
San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Completed Projects	45

Background

The Transportation Corridor Agencies' 51 miles of toll roads have been operational for more than 16 years since the most recent opening and over 22 years for the initial segment of the State Route (SR) 241 Toll Road between Portola Parkway (North) and Portola Parkway (South). The last sixteen miles of the 67-mile system, including the 5.5 mile Tesoro Extension, remains to be constructed and opened to traffic. Long range planning of the balance of the 241 from the Tesoro Extension to Interstate 5 continues and an alignment has not yet been defined.

Once highway segments become operational, various roadway expansions and operational improvement projects are required to keep pace with increasing traffic demands and changing conditions, land uses and demographics. These improvements, which make up the Capital Improvement Plan or CIP, are all components of the total ultimate buildout of the Toll Road Corridors as envisioned in the respective environmental documentation for each. The Ultimate Corridors will provide two, three or four mixed flow traffic lanes plus one additional general purpose or HOV (High Occupancy Vehicle) lane in each direction.

The CIPs were first developed in the late 1990's and identified the complete list of projects required to attain ultimate buildout of the system. The CIPs are updated annually and the current planned implementation schedule for projects is shown in Figure 1 and the costs are shown in Table 1. All costs in this report are estimated in 2016 dollars.





Implementation Schedule


			Ν	lea	r-T	erm		Μ	lid-	-Te	rm		Long-Term														
	No.	Project/Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040
A	1	SR 241 Long Range Planning																									
n TO	1A	Tesoro Extension																									
ster	2	241/91 Connector																									
Foothill/Eastern TCA	3	Wildlife Safety Fencing						TBD																			
thill	4	Toll Plaza Water Supply Upgrade																									
00	5	Signage Improvements																									
	6	Toll Plaza Facility Improvements																									
	TBD	F/ETCA Future Widening Projects						TBD																			
	TBD	F/ETCA Future Interchanges						TBD																			
			Ν	lea	r-Term Mid-Term Long-Term					Mid-Term Long-Term																	
A	No.	Project/Fiscal Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040
San Joaquin Hills TCA	1	73/405 Connector		·																							
HiH	2	Caltrans Maintenance Station																									
luin	3	Bonita Channel Bicycle Trail																									
loac	4	Signage Improvements																									
an ,	5	Toll Plaza Facility Improvements																									
l s	TBD	SJHTCA Future Widening Projects															TB	BD									
	TBD	SJHTCA Future Interchanges						TBD																			
		Environmen	mental Engineering Construction																								

Figure 1

Estimated Project Costs by Agency

		ln \$1,000,000										
No.	Title	FY14 & Prior	4	FY15 Actual Plus Projected		oposed FY16 udget	FY17 & Later		Total Project Cost			
Foot	hill/Eastern		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l							
1	SR241 Long Range Planning/Tesoro Ext.	\$281.20	\$	17.52	\$	2.94	\$1,374.85	\$1	,676.51			
2	241/91 Connector	2.20		0.62		1.20	178.79	\$	182.81			
3	Wildlife Safety Fencing	0.47		6.14		4.58	11.80	\$	22.99			
4	Toll Plaza Water Supply Upgrade	0.23		0.05		0.44	-	\$	0.72			
5	Signage Improvements	-		-		0.79	1.17	\$	1.97			
6	Toll Plaza Facility Improvements	-		-		1.89	TBD	\$	1.89			
TBD	F/ETCA Future Widening Projects	4.86		-		-	TBD	\$	4.86			
TBD	F/ETCA Future Interchanges	-		-		-	TBD		TBD			
	F/E Totals	\$288.96	\$	24.33	\$	11.84	\$1,566.61	\$1	,891.75			
San .	loaquin Hills											
1	73/405 Connector	-		-		-	TBD		TBD			
2	Caltrans Maintenance Station	-		-		-	7.93	\$	7.93			
3	Bonita Channel Bicycle Trail	-		-		0.10	TBD	\$	0.10			
4	Signage Improvements	-		-		0.47	0.46	\$	0.93			
5	Toll Plaza Facility Improvements	-		-		1.10	TBD	\$	1.10			
TBD	SJHTCA Future Widening Projects	-		-		-	TBD		TBD			
TBD	SJHTCA Future Interchanges	-		-		-	TBD		TBD			
	SJH Totals	\$-	\$	_	\$	1.67	\$ 8.39	\$	10.06			







SR 241 Long Range Planning

F/ETCA Project No. 1

Description Funding for the long range planning required to complete SR 241 includes two efforts. The first relates to the Tesoro Extension Project and is more thoroughly described on the following page. The remaining effort, beyond the Tesoro Extension Project, includes identifying options that address the region's future needs for mobility and accessibility, and providing improvements that meet those needs.

Purpose and Need Regional planning efforts to date demonstrate the need for additional transportation improvements to relieve existing and future congestion on Interstate 5 and local arterials in south Orange County. The F/ETCA is evaluating those needs to identify an acceptable solution.

<u>Project Status</u> The 241 Long Range Planning efforts are in the community outreach stage which is expected to continue until FY2017.

Environmental The F/ETCA, in coordination with the Federal Highway Administration, formally stopped all environmental work on the 241 completion project when the Notices of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act were rescinded in the Federal Register on April 8, 2014. This rescission was due in part to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce upholding the California Coastal Commission's objection to the 241 "Green Alignment" within the coastal area of Camp Pendleton. Future alignments would require the preparation of an environmental document in compliance with state and federal environmental regulations.

Design Limited design work to support the outreach, engineering and environmental long range planning efforts is expected to continue through FY16.

<u>Construction</u> Construction will begin after a route is identified and the environmental process is completed.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Total project costs are estimated as shown in Table 2.

Schedule Estimated completion in CY2021.

Tesoro Extension

F/ETCA Project No. 1A

Description In October 2011, the Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Board of Directors voted to pursue a stand-alone extension of the SR 241 from Oso Parkway to the vicinity of Ortega Highway to provide an alternative route and improved mobility for a growing area of Orange County. This project is called the Tesoro Extension and consists of a 5.5 mile extension of the existing SR 241 from Oso Parkway south to Cow Camp Road, which is currently under construction.

Purpose and Need The project is proposed to provide improvements to the Orange County transportation network infrastructure system to alleviate future traffic congestion and accommodate the need for mobility, access, goods movement and future traffic demands on I-5 and the arterial network in southern Orange County.

<u>Project Status</u> The 241 Tesoro Extension project is currently in the environmental clearance stage


Environmental Environmental studies will need to be updated and permits obtained.

Design Preliminary design for the project is completed. Final design will advance following completion of all environmental clearances.

Construction Construction of the Tesoro Extension will advance following completion of all environmental clearances.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Total project costs are estimated as shown in the Table 2.

Schedule The schedule is to be determined following the successful TCA appeal of the March 2015 San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board decision, which is expected to be completed in Summer 2016.



					In \$1,000					
Activity	FY14 & Prior	FY1	L5 Proj.	Pr	oposed FY16	FY17 & Later	Total			
Engineering Design/Oversight	\$ 54,284.0)	2,038.8	\$	2,060.0	\$ 32,691.1	\$ 91,073.9			
Utility Relocation	1,243.0)	-		-	13,000.0	14,243.0			
Right of Way	13,493.0)	15,008.2		-	27,806.3	56,307.5			
Design/Build Contract	65,052.0)	-		-	942,999.6	1,008,051.6			
Const. Engineering Mgmt.	597.0)	-		-	51,086.9	51,683.9			
Contingency		-	100.0		220.0	112,619.8	112,939.8			
Subtotal	134,669.0)	17,147.1		2,280.0	1,180,203.6	1,334,299.7			
- FY16 & later		-	-	\$	1,182,483.6	ï	· · ·			
Environmental	26,526.0)	372.1		655.0	35,743.9	63,297.0			
Public Benefits		-	-		-	100,000.0	100,000.0			
Insurance/Toll Collection Systems		-	-		-	58,900.3	58,900.3			
Subtotal	161,195.0)	17,519.2		2,935.0	1,374,847.8	1,556,497.0			
73 Mitigation	120,000.0		-		-	-	120,000.0			
Total	\$ 281,195.0		17,519.2	\$	2,935.0	\$ 1,374,847.8	\$ 1,676,497.0			
- FY16 & later	1	1 ·		\$	1,377,782.8	ï	. , ,			
PROJECT 1A - TESORO EXTENSION										
Engineering Design/Oversight	\$ 3,970.0) \$	862.1	\$	801.0					
Utility Relocation	<i>\$</i> 3,370.0	-		Ŷ	-					
Right of Way	104.0)	15,008.2		-					
Design/Build Contract	7,148.0				-					
Const. Engineering Mgmt.	114.0		-		-					
Contingency	114.0	-	_		120.0					
Subtotal	11,336.0		15,870.3		921.0					
- FY16 & later	11,550.0	, 	13,070.3		TBD	To Be Determined				
Environmental	2,024.0		166.4		125.0	10 De De				
Public Benefits	2,024.0	-	100.4		125.0					
Insurance/Toll Collection Systems		_	_							
Subtotal	13,360.0)	16,036.7		1,046.0					
73 Mitigation	13,500.0	_			-					
Total	\$ 13,360.0) \$	16,036.7	\$	1,046.0					
- FY16 & later	÷ 15,500.0	/ Y	10,050.7	Ŷ	TBD					
					100					
PROJECT 1 - 241 LONG RANGE PLANNING										
Engineering Design/Oversight	\$ 50,314.0		1,176.8	\$	1,259.0					
Utility Relocation	1,243.0				-					
Right of Way	13,389.0	-			-					
Design/Build Contract	57,904.0)			-					
Const. Engineering Mgmt.	483.0)			-					
Contingency		-	100.0		100.0					
Subtotal	123,333.0)	1,276.8		1,359.0					
- FY16 & later					TBD	To Be De	termined			
Environmental	24,502.0)	205.7		530.0					
Public Benefits		-			-					
Insurance/Toll Collection Systems		-			-					
Subtotal	147,835.0)	1,482.5		1,889.0					
73 Mitigation	120,000.0)			-					
Total	\$ 267,835.0) \$	1,482.5	\$	1,889.0					
					TBD					

241/91 Connector

F/ETCA Project No. 2

Description The project consists of providing a single lane (in each direction) directional connector between the median of the 91 Express Lanes to and from the east and the median of SR 241.

Purpose and Need The 241/91 connector between the two toll facilities is an integral component of the Eastern Corridor Ultimate Project (SR 241) as well as OCTA's SR 91 Implementation Plan. Traffic on SR 91 east of SR 241 greatly exceeds the capacity of the existing roadway during extended peak hours and many improvements have been proposed to alleviate this congestion. The project will close the current toll system gap by connecting SR 241 with the 91 Express Lanes to and from the east.

Project Status Staff is proceeding with the required preliminary engineering and environmental studies necessary to advance the project. The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) has begun construction of the extension of the 91 Express Lanes from the Riverside/Orange County Line east to Interstate 15. Preliminary traffic and revenue studies show the project to be revenue positive to both F/ETCA and RCTC with no impact on OCTA 91 Express Lanes revenue. An investment-grade traffic and revenue study is expected to be completed in 2016.

Environmental An HOV connector ramp between SR 241 and SR 91 was included as a project component in the Eastern Transportation Corridor (SR 133, 241, 261) environmental document, EIS No. 2-1. A Supplemental EIR/EIS is underway to evaluate the minor changes in the existing condition of the project area and project limits.

Design It is anticipated that a final design procurement package will be developed for release next fiscal year and the project will be delivered under the conventional design-bid-build process.

<u>Construction</u> The project would impact traffic during the estimated 18-month construction period. The eastbound lanes of SR 91 (including OCTA 91 express lanes) require complete relocation and reconstruction for a minimum length of approximately one mile to provide sufficient room in the SR 91 median for the connector ramp.

Costs/Budget The FY16 proposed budget includes completion of preliminary design documents and a Draft Supplemental EIR/EIS for the project. This cost is being partially shared with OCTA under a cooperative agreement. Participation levels and roles and responsibilities, as well as roles of each agency for the remainder of the project are yet to be determined and the amounts shown in this report represent the total estimated cost.

Schedule Staff proposes in FY16 to continue with preliminary engineering and necessary environmental documentation for the project. As agreed with OCTA, F/ETCA will be taking the lead in these efforts. The expected completion date of construction is Spring 2019.

						In \$1,0	000		
A -411-114-1	FY14		Actua	/15 al Plus	Ρ	roposed	5\/47	Q Latar	Tatal
Activity	Pric	-		ected		FY16	FY1/	& Later	 Total
Engineering Oversight	\$	401	\$	315	\$	90	\$	5,445	\$ 6,251
TCA Technical Memorandum		282		-		-		-	282
OCTA Study		334		-		-		-	334
Design/Environmental		1,878		511		448		22,457	25,294
Traffic Studies		19		36		870		16	941
Right-of-Way		-		-		-		2,000	2,000
Construction		-		-		-		120,200	120,200
Const. Engineering Mgmt.		-		-		-		9,000	9,000
OCTA Reimbursement		(718)		(281)		(344)		TBD	(1,343)
Contingency & Miscellaneous		-		43		132		19,669	19,844
Total	\$ 2	2,196	\$	624	\$	1,196	\$	178,787	\$ 182,803



Wildlife Safety Fencing

F/ETCA Project No. 3

Description As mitigation for impacts of the Eastern Transportation Corridor, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) required the F/ETCA to construct a minimum of four wildlife undercrossings and conduct a five-year study documenting wildlife usage of those undercrossings.¹ This study was completed in 2004. In 2009, USFWS notified F/ETCA staff that they had concerns with the performance of the undercrossings, specifically the number of animals crossing the 241 Toll Road at-grade.

In response to these concerns, the F/ETCA contracted with the University of California, Davis Wildlife Health Center (U.C. Davis) in 2011 to further study the undercrossings and adjacent fencing, and to formulate recommendations to enhance wildlife movement and safety along SR 241. In 2013, U.C. Davis completed their assessment of the existing fencing and the wildlife undercrossings along the 241 Toll Road, and provided recommendations to F/ETCA that included installing a taller wildlife fence and jump-out ramps. Staff consulted with USFWS on these recommendations and obtained agreement on the suggested improvements in 2013. In December 2013, staff presented the wildlife fence improvement these improvements. In February 2014, staff obtained an amended biological opinion from USFWS allowing F/ETCA to proceed with the project.

Purpose and Need The Wildlife Safety Fencing project is designed to enhance the wildlife crossings built as part of the Eastern Transportation Corridor.

Project Status The project is partially complete with additional future phases planned.

Environmental The project is covered under the original Eastern Transportation Corridor environmental document, EIS No. 2-1. An amended biological opinion was issued by U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service in February 2014.

Design Phase 1 & 2A design is complete. Phase 2B (1.1 miles) is proposed as part of the Fiscal Year 2016 budget. Upon project completion, U.C. Davis will continue monitoring the undercrossings and conduct post-construction monitoring to document the project's effectiveness in reducing wildlife-vehicle collisions. U.C. Davis' monitoring will also include the use of GPS collars on mountain lions, as well as cameras placed at the undercrossings to document the use of the SR 241 wildlife undercrossings in a manner that was agreed to by USFWS.

Construction Phase 1 & 2A (5.3 miles) of the new wildlife fence project is currently under construction.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> The project costs are shown in Table 4.

<u>Schedule</u> Phase 2B is scheduled to be completed by June 2016. Phase 3, if implemented, would be designed in conjunction with the 241 Widening Project (133 to Chapman).

¹ Biological Opinion (BO) 1-6-94-F-17 issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

	In \$1,000											
Activity	FY14 & Prior		Actı	FY15 Jal Plus jected	Proposed FY16		FY	17 & Later		Total		
Consultant	\$	153	\$	-	\$	100	\$	300	\$	553		
Design		92		-		450		250	\$	792		
Construction		180		5,630		3,800		9,948	\$	19,558		
Const. Engineering Mgmt.		43		511		230		739	\$	1,523		
Contingency & Miscellaneous		-		-		-		562	\$	562		
Total	\$	468	<u> </u>	6,141	\$	4,580	\$	11,799	\$	22,988		



Toll Plaza Water Supply Upgrade (Tomato Springs)

F/ETCA Project No. 4

Description The project consists of two elements:

1) The domestic water connection for the Tomato Springs and Orange Grove mainline toll plazas will be converted to the Irvine Ranch Water District (IRWD) Zone 6 system. The new connection allows the agency to deactivate and abandon the existing 180,000 gallon water reservoir which formerly served these toll plazas.

2) Conversion of the irrigation system to non-domestic water use. Currently the toll plazas and surrounding Caltrans landscaped areas are irrigated by a single domestic irrigation system. IRWD's preference is that landscaping be irrigated with non-domestic or reclaimed water. The agency has developed plans for this conversion, which will allow the agency to utilize reclaimed water as well as turn over the Caltrans portion of the irrigation system (along with the related water costs) to the State.

Purpose and Need When constructing segments of the 241, 261, and 133 Toll Roads and the associated toll collection facilities, utility services were provided from public utilities whenever possible. Certain areas were too remote, or the needed utility was not available, to economically provide the desired public utility connections. Such was the case at the Tomato Springs Mainline Toll Plaza where fire flow pressure did not exist and the agency was forced to construct its own vinyl lined water reservoir to meet the prescribed fire flow demand. Direct feeds from a newly constructed IRWD reservoir have eliminated the need for the TCA-maintained reservoir and provide a permanent solution for the plaza's water supply.

Environmental A Categorical Exemption was prepared as there are minimal impacts associated with this project.


Design Construction drawings have been developed for the reservoir decommissioning. Design work has been substantially completed for the irrigation system conversion.

Construction Impacts No impacts are anticipated.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> The remaining project estimated costs of \$440,000 will be utilized for decommissioning the reservoir and miscellaneous related items. In FY2016 a cost estimate will be developed for the proposed irrigation change from potable to non-potable water. A breakdown of costs is included in Table 5.

<u>Schedule</u> The reservoir decommissioning is anticipated to be complete in FY2016. The non-domestic irrigation switchover is anticipated to be complete in FY2017.

	In \$1,000												
			FY15 Actual										
Activity		14 & rior	Plus Projecte		Proposed FY16		FY17 & Later		Тс	otal			
IRWD Design	\$	42	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	42			
IRWD Construction		145		-		-		-		145			
Reservoir Decommissioning Design		32		50		-		-		82			
TCA Construction		2		-		440		-		442			
Irrigation Switch to Non-Potable		-		-		-		TBD		TBD			
Contingency and Miscellaneous		9		-		-		-		9			
Total	\$	230	\$	50	\$	440	\$	-	\$	720			



Signage Improvements

F/ETCA Project No. 5

Description This project provides updated messaging on the large overhead signage on the freeway and major arterial approaches to the toll road system. Also included are improved signage for ramp entrances, roadside signage, freeway-to-toll road transition signs and lane stenciling and changes to signage to simplify messaging related to our payment method for infrequent users (One-Time-Toll).

Purpose and Need Supplemental signage along with refinements to existing signs are recommended in order to better communicate to drivers how to use the toll road system. The proposed changes incorporate the latest federal and state standards for use on toll facilities.

<u>Project Status</u> The project is currently in the concept development phase with final design expected to be complete in the next fiscal year. Implementation of all signage improvements is expected to continue through FY2017.

Environmental No environmental impacts are foreseen.


Design Customer research regarding sign messaging is currently underway and the results will be incorporated into the signage modifications. In December 2014, the Boards approved one-half of the estimated design costs for the signage work. The balance of the design costs will be brought before the Boards for approval following further review of the new signage concepts.

<u>Construction</u> The signage replacement on the overhead facilities will require extensive lane and ramp closures throughout the toll road and freeway system. Major closures will be done at night and other off-peak travel times in order to minimize inconvenience to drivers.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> A breakdown of costs is provided in Table 6.

Schedule Preliminary concept development is anticipated to last through July 2015. The design work and Caltrans approval will follow with approval scheduled for September 2015. Minor sign work can be accomplished through the use of an on-call signage contractor, however it is anticipated that the major overhead signs would be done under a separate construction contract. This work will last through FY2017.

					In \$	1,000				
			FY1	5						
			Actu	al						
	FY	FY14 & Plus F				posed	FY17 &			
Activity	Pi	rior	Projected		FY16		Later		Total	
Customer Research	\$	-	\$	-	\$	25	\$	-	\$	25
Design		-		-		240		53		293
Construction		-		-		498		830		1,328
Construction Management		-		-		30		140		170
Contingency and Miscellaneous		-		-		-		150		150
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	793	\$	1,173	\$	1,966



Toll Plaza Facility Improvements

F/ETCA Project No. 6

Description The project consists of two elements:

1) A formal study is proposed to research possible uses for the toll booth and other toll plaza buildings throughout the system. The recommendations developed as part of this study will be brought before the Board for further action.

2) Toll Booth removal – In order to minimize the initial costs of the All-Electronic Tolling conversion project, the majority of the toll booths on the system were left in place. It is proposed to remove the toll booths and related equipment in a prioritized manner.

<u>Purpose and Need</u> The implementation of All-Electronic Tolling has substantially changed the facilities usage throughout the toll road system.

Project Status The project will be started in FY 2016 with scope definition.

<u>Environmental</u> The environmental impacts of the proposed improvements will be evaluated in detail however they appear at this stage to be minimal.

Design The design scope will follow further definition of the project.

<u>Construction</u> There will be lane and ramp closures along with demolition related to the toll booth removal work.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Total Project Costs are estimated as shown in Table 7.

<u>Schedule</u> The study will be developed and finalized in FY2016 along with toll booth removal at high priority locations.

	In \$1,000										
				/15							
Activity		FY14 & Prior		tual us ected	Proposed FY16		FY17 & Later		Total		
Preliminary Study	\$	-	\$	-	\$	126	\$	-	\$	126	
Toll Booth Removal Phase 1		-		-		1,764		-		1,764	
Toll Booth Removal Phase 2		-		-		-		TBD		TBD	
Toll Booth Removal Phase 3		-		-		-		TBD		TBD	
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,890	\$	-	\$	1,890	



F/ETCA Future Widening Projects

Over the past two decades the Orange County toll roads have become an integral part of the regional transportation system. Customer surveys show that people depend on the toll roads for reliability in the travel time it takes to reach their destination. As regional travel demand grows and the freeway and arterial system become more congested, the toll road system can sometimes experience congestion as well. In order to preserve dependable travel times, occasionally system expansion projects become warranted.

The transportation corridor system is designed to be expanded with additional lanes as traffic demand and volumes grow. Space is also provided within the median for either additional travel lanes and/or potential transit facilities as the County of Orange and surrounding communities mature. Since the corridors were originally planned there have been several changes to several key factors that influence travel demand. These factors include residential and non-residential development changes, toll rates that differ from those originally forecast, shifts in population and employment, changes to the arterial highway system and changes in commuter behavior.

Several widening projects have taken place on the toll road system since the original project construction in the late 1990's (see Completed Projects). There have also been widening projects that were initiated yet not implemented as a result of the changes to travel demand (see Table 8).

		In \$1,000							
			Y14 &	Act Plu	us	Prop		FY17 &	
Activity	Limits		Prior	Proje	cted	FY	16	Later	Total
241 Southbound Widening	Bake to Santa Margarita	\$	3,902	\$	-	\$	-	TBD	TBD
241 Widening (Loma)	133 to Chapman		961		3		3	TBD	TBD
Total		\$	4,863	\$	3	\$	3	TBD	TBD



F/ETCA Future Interchanges

Just as all lanes of the toll road system were not constructed along with the original projects, several interchanges were also deferred during the original construction. The need for these deferred interchanges has changed over the years, for many of the same reasons affecting the widening projects. Major developer open space land dedications have reduced the need for some planned interchanges while new land uses have created demand for interchanges at previously unplanned locations. The following interchanges have been included in the long range plans for the toll road system and are included in the CIP. The timing for development of these interchanges will be evaluated annually as part of this document. As projects are advanced, they will be moved into the near- or mid-term categories.

Five future interchanges and one interchange expansion were included in the Eastern Transportation Corridor environmental document. The interchange numbers listed are from that original document:

Interchange #2 - 241 @ Jeffrey Road Interchange

The extension of Jeffrey Road north of Portola Parkway to the SR 241 (and beyond) remains on the OCTA Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH). Development in this area is not expected to begin until 2025 at the earliest. This interchange is included in a reimbursement agreement between The Irvine Company and TCA. No reimbursements have been made to date.

Interchange #3 - 241 @ North Culver Drive & Interchange #4 - 241 @ South Culver Drive

These two interchanges were removed from further consideration as Culver Drive has been removed from the MPAH north of Portola Parkway.

Interchange #5 - 241 @ North Lake Road

A reduction in The Irvine Company development plans for the area north and west of the 241/261 interchange has eliminated any need for this interchange.

Interchange #6 - 241 @ Coal/Weir Canyon Road Interchange

Weir Canyon Road remains on the MPAH; however, plans for development in the area have been shelved as this property was recently dedicated as permanent open space to the County of Orange. This interchange is included in a reimbursement agreement between The Irvine Company and TCA. Costs to date for this interchange amount to \$47,000.

241 @ 261 East Orange Interchange Expansion


This interchange was planned to be expanded along with the development of the Santiago Hills (Phase II) development. As this property was recently dedicated as permanent open space to the County of Orange. This interchange has been included in a reimbursement agreement between The Irvine Company and TCA. Costs to date for this interchange amount to \$61,000. The Irvine Company has recently informed the F/ETCA that they will no longer be participating in the funding for this interchange.

133 @ Trabuco Road Interchange

This interchange was never included in the ultimate plans for the 133. This interchange is included in the North Irvine Traffic Mitigation program and is currently under consideration for implementation by the City of Irvine. As the project moves forward and is further established it will be advanced into nearor mid-term categories. No TCA funding has been identified for this interchange.



San Joaquin Hills TCA Capital Projects (\$M)





73/405 Connector

SJHTCA Project No. 1

Description The project consists of providing a single lane (in each direction) connector between the median of the planned 405 Express Lanes to and from the west and the median of SR 73. Figure 2 below shows the concept layout of the connector and Figure 3 shows the proximity of the project to the existing 73 toll road.

Purpose and Need Traffic on I-405 west of SR 73 greatly exceeds the capacity of the existing roadway during extended peak hours and many improvements have been proposed to alleviate this congestion. The project will help close the toll system gap by connecting SR 73 with the future 405 Express Lanes to and from the west.

Project Status The connector project is included within the I-405 Final Environmental Impact Report / Environmental Impact Statement. Timing of the project will be determined jointly between Caltrans and the Orange County Transportation Authority. Construction of the I-405 Improvement Project is estimated to be complete in 2022. Agency participation in the project (if any) has yet to be determined.



Figure 2



Figure 3

Caltrans Maintenance Station

SJHTCA Project No. 2

Description The project is to develop a permanent 3.0-acre maintenance station at a location acceptable to Caltrans in South Orange County. The Station will be designed to house two maintenance crews, offices, equipment, shop and storage for a total building area of 8,480 sq. ft. Gas, sewer, water, telephone and electrical services shall be provided.

Purpose and Need Construction and opening of the three Corridors increased the need for Caltrans maintenance facilities and the Cooperative Agreements with Caltrans for each of the Corridors defines the agency's responsibilities for providing these facilities. The maintenance station represents the commitment for providing such a station related to the San Joaquin Corridor as specified in District Cooperative Agreement No. 12-079 (as amended).

Project Status The project has not been advanced beyond that which has been defined in Exhibit A to Amendment 7 of Cooperative Agreement No. 12-079. Conceptual layouts have been discussed with Caltrans representatives to better define the requirements. The mutual agreement is to complete the station for Caltrans use and occupancy by December 31, 2015, however negotiations are underway to extend that date.

<u>Environmental</u> The agency will prepare the environmental documents for construction of the station including impacts on the site surroundings and an Initial Site Assessment.

<u>Construction Impacts</u> The maintenance site is currently planned for an undefined site in south Orange County. Impacts should be minimal.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Costs are forecast as shown in Table 9.

<u>Schedule</u> No detailed schedule has been developed to date, pending agency and Caltrans decision and approval of the location. Design and construction of the facility will take approximately 2-1/2 years after site selection. The current scheduled completion date is December 31, 2015; however, negotiations are underway to extend that date.

	In \$1,000										
			FY:	L5 Actual							
	FY1	L4 &		Plus	Pro	oposed					
Activity	Pr	ior	Pr	ojected		FY16	FY1	7 & Later		Total	
Design	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	600	\$	600	
Construction		-		-		-		6,500		6,500	
Const. Engineering Mgmt.		-		-		-		300		300	
Contingency		-		-		-		313		313	
Subtotal	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,713	\$	7,713	
Interest per Agreement 12-079								215	\$	215	
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7,928	\$	7,928	



Bonita Channel Bicycle Trail

SJHTCA Project No. 3

Description The project concept includes the transfer of the Bonita Creek Mitigation Site to the City of Newport Beach, as well as preliminary design and initial environmental review to extend the existing pedestrian and bicycle trail that parallels Bonita Creek south along Bonita Canyon Drive to the juncture with the 73 Toll Road.

Purpose and Need The Bonita Creek Mitigation Site was completed in conjunction with the construction of the 73 Toll Road. The agency has met all of the resource agency permit requirements in 2002 for the mitigation area. Agency staff is now exploring with the City of Newport Beach opportunities to enhance recreational uses within this area and transfer fee ownership to the City.

<u>Project Status</u> The project is in the early stages of development and discussions with the City of Newport Beach have been initiated and are on-going to determine if there is a mutual interest in providing additional recreational opportunities within the City.

Environmental The project will be designed to minimize any environmental impacts; however, ongoing discussions with the City will determine whether the agency or the City will prepare the necessary environmental clearances.

<u>Construction</u> Construction impacts will be determined upon completing the preliminary engineering for the project.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Fiscal Year 2016 costs are estimated at \$100,000 for concept development and potential endowment funding. Costs for subsequent years will be determined based upon final project concept approval.

SchedulePreliminaryconcept approval for theland transfer and bicycletrail is estimated forcompletion in Fiscal Year2016.Dependent upondiscussions with the City,project initiation wouldoccur in Fiscal Year 2017.



	In \$1,000										
Activity	FY14 a Prior		FY15 A Plu Proje	IS	Proposed FY16		FY17 & Later	Total			
Environmental	\$	-	\$	-	\$	100	TBD	TBD			
Design							TBD	TBD			
Construction		-		-		-	TBD	TBD			
Const. Engineering Mgmt.		-		-		-	TBD	TBD			
Contingency		-		-		-	TBD	TBD			
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	100	TBD	TBD			



Signage Improvements

SJHTCA Project No. 4

Description This project provides updated messaging on the large overhead signage on the freeway and major arterial approaches to the toll road system. Also included are improved signage for ramp entrances, roadside signage, freeway-to-toll road transition signs and lane stenciling and changes to signage to simplify messaging related to our payment method for infrequent users (One-Time-Toll).

Purpose and Need Supplemental signage along with refinements to existing signs are recommended in order to better communicate to drivers how to use the toll road system. The proposed changes incorporate the latest federal and state standards for use on toll facilities.

<u>Project Status</u> The project is currently in the concept development phase with final design expected to be complete in the next fiscal year. Implementation of all signage improvements is expected to continue through FY2017.

Environmental No environmental impacts foreseen.


Design Customer research regarding sign messaging is currently underway and the results will be incorporated into the signage modifications. In December 2014, the Boards approved one-half of the estimated design costs for the signage work. The balance of the design costs will be brought before the Boards for approval following further review of the new signage concepts.

<u>Construction Impacts</u> The signage replacement on the overhead facilities will require extensive lane and ramp closures throughout the toll road and freeway system. Major closures will be done at night and other off-peak travel times in order to minimize inconvenience to drivers.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> A breakdown of costs is provided in Table 11.

<u>Schedule</u> Preliminary concept development is anticipated to last through July 2015. The design work and Caltrans approval will follow with approval scheduled for September 2015. Minor sign work can be accomplished through the use of an on-call signage contractor, however it is anticipated that the major overhead signs would be done under a separate construction contract. This work will last through Fiscal Year 2017.

	In \$1,000										
			FY15								
			Actual								
	FY14	4&	Plus	Pro	posed	FY	17 &				
Activity	Prie	or	Projected	F	Y16	Later		Total			
Customer Research	\$	-	\$-	\$	25	\$	-	\$	25		
Design		-	-		120		20		140		
Construction		-	-		300		300		600		
Construction Management		-	-		30		60		90		
Contingency and Miscellaneous		-	-		-		80		80		
Total	\$	-	\$-	\$	475	\$	460	\$	935		



Toll Plaza Facility Improvements

SJHTCA Project No. 5

Description The project consists of two elements:

1) A formal study is proposed to research possible uses for the toll booth and other toll plaza buildings throughout the system. The recommendations developed as part of this study will be brought before the Board for further action.

2) Toll Booth removal – In order to minimize the initial costs of the All-Electronic Tolling conversion project, the majority of the toll booths on the system were left in place. It is proposed to remove the toll booths and related equipment and this will be done in a prioritized manner.

<u>Purpose and Need</u> The implementation of All-Electronic Tolling has substantially changed the facilities usage throughout the toll road system.

Project Status The project will be started in FY 2016 with scope definition.

<u>Environmental</u> The environmental impacts of the proposed improvements will be evaluated in detail; however, they appear at this stage to be minimal.


Design The design scope will follow further definition of the project.

<u>Construction</u> _There will be lane and ramp closures along with demolition related to the toll booth removal work.

<u>Cost/Budget</u> Total Project Costs are estimated as shown in Table 12.

<u>Schedule</u> The study will be developed and finalized in FY2016 along with toll booth removal at high priority locations.

	In \$1,000										
		FY15									
		Actual									
	FY14 &	Plus Proposed		FY17 &							
Activity	Prior	Projected	FY16	Later	Total						
Preliminary Study	\$-	\$-	\$	\$-	\$ 59						
Toll Booth Removal Phase 1	-	-	1,036	-	1,036						
Toll Booth Removal Phase 2	-	-	-	TBD	TBD						
Toll Booth Removal Phase 3	-	-	-	TBD	TBD						
Total	\$-	\$ -	\$ 1,095	\$ -	\$ 1,095						



SJHTCA Future Widening Projects

Over the past two decades the Orange County toll roads have become an integral part of the regional transportation system. Customer surveys show that people depend on the toll roads for reliability in the travel time it takes to reach their destination. As regional travel demand grows and the freeway and arterial system become more congested, the toll road system can sometimes experience congestion as well. In order to preserve dependable travel times, occasionally system expansion projects become warranted.

The transportation corridor system is designed to be expanded with additional lanes as traffic demand and volumes grow. Space is also provided within the median for either additional travel lanes and/or potential transit facilities as the County of Orange and surrounding communities mature. Since the corridors were originally planned there have been several changes to several key factors that influence travel demand. These factors include residential and non-residential development changes, toll rates that differ from those originally forecast, shifts in population and employment, changes to the arterial highway system and changes in commuter behavior.

Several widening projects have taken place on the toll road system since the original project construction in the late 1990's (see Completed Projects).



SJHTCA Future Interchanges

Just as all lanes of the toll road system were not constructed along with the original project, several interchanges were also deferred during the original construction. The need for these deferred interchanges has changed over the years, for many of the same reasons affecting the widening projects. Major developer open space land dedications have reduced the need for some planned interchanges while new land uses have created demand for interchanges at previously unplanned locations. The following interchanges have been included in the long range plans for the toll road system and are included in the CIP. The timing for development of these interchanges will be evaluated annually as part of this document. As projects are advanced, they will be moved into the near- or mid-term categories.

73 @ Glenwood/Pacific Park Drive Phases 2 & 3

This interchange was deferred during the original construction of the 73 toll road. In 2003 the Agency, in partnership with OCTA and the County of Orange developed a partial interchange (ramps to and from the 73 to the north). The future Phase 2 of the interchange completes the interchange movements with ramps to and from 73 to the south. The future Phase 3 is an expansion/reconfiguration of the northbound on ramp from Glenwood and provides for more intersection and mainline capacity by braiding the northbound on-ramp with the El Toro off-ramp. The need for the future phases of this interchange will be evaluated annually during the CIP approval process.




Figure 4

73 @ Jamboree Road

Two of the ramps at the 73/Jamboree Road interchange were deferred during the original construction of the 73 toll road.

Ramp JR-1

This ramp is a northbound 73 off-ramp to Jamboree Road (intersection at Bristol Street North). The northbound 73 MacArthur Boulevard exit currently serves this movement with drivers turning left at Jamboree to access Bristol Street North. The current design requires removal of the existing northbound loop ramp from Jamboree to the 73.

Ramp JR-5

This ramp is a northbound on-ramp to the 73 from Jamboree Road. This ramp exits northbound Jamboree Road before San Diego Creek and is a flyover structure over San Diego Creek, Bayview Way, the Fletcher-Jones Mercedes-Benz dealership, Jamboree Road and State Route 73 before merging into the northbound mainline of the 73.





Figure 5



Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency Completed Projects

<u>1. 241 Banderas Bridge Overcrossing</u>. - This project provided a new overcrossing of the 241 Toll Road between Antonio Parkway and Santa Margarita Parkway. It was sponsored by the City of Rancho Santa Margarita to provide improved traffic circulation within the City. The F/ETCA contributed \$1.22 million as its fair share of the project costs. The project was completed and opened to traffic in October 2002.

<u>2. Santa Margarita Parkway On-Ramp Widening</u> - The northbound on-ramp at this location previously narrowed to a single lane prior to merging into the mainline. This project added a second lane to the ramp to address high peak-hour traffic volumes, which also required widening the 1,500 foot long Arroyo Trabuco Creek Bridge. The bridge was widened to the Ultimate Corridor configuration at a total project cost of \$11.57 million. This project was completed in 2005.

<u>3. Arroyo Trabuco Southbound Bridge Widening</u>. - In bidding Project No. 3 above, the contractor was asked to price a similar widening of the southbound traffic structure thereby allowing both northbound and southbound structures to be widened to their Ultimate Corridor width at the same time. This would allow only one disruption of the Arroyo Trabuco Creek below the bridge. The project was designed and constructed including the addition of a second exit lane to Santa Margarita Parkway at a total project cost of \$8.52 million. This project was completed in early 2005.

<u>4. 241 northbound widening</u> – One additional mixed flow lane was constructed in the median of the 241 northbound from Arroyo Trabuco Creek to Bake Parkway. This project included the widening of five twin northbound and southbound bridges to their Ultimate Corridor configuration. Construction was completed in late 2003 at a total project cost of \$15.28 million.

<u>5. 241 Tomato Springs Toll Plaza Third FasTrak Lanes</u> – These lanes were added to address increasing traffic volumes and FasTrak usage at this SR 241 location. Included was a reconfiguration of the lane delineation between the toll plaza and the adjacent SR 133 Interchange to encourage FasTrak as the predominant toll payment method. Construction was completed in the spring of 2004 at a total project cost of \$3.11 million.


<u>6. Landscaping Enhancements</u> – Two separate contracts were designed and constructed/installed on the 241 and 261 Corridors. These were completed at project costs totaling \$5 million. Grant funds of \$750,000 reduced the agency's net cost by that amount. Implementation was completed in 2004.

<u>7. Toll Plaza Water & Wastewater</u> – Improvements to the toll plaza water and wastewater systems were completed at three mainline toll plazas on the 241, 261 and 133 Toll Roads, including one new connection to a public sewer. These were completed in early 2002 at a cost of \$223,000.

<u>8. 133 Widening</u> – One mixed flow lane was added in each direction from I-5 to 241 along with median guard rail for most of the 2.5 mile project length. Construction was completed in the fall of 2005 at a project cost of \$5.39 million.

<u>9. Windy Ridge FasTrak Lane Widening</u> - The project added a third general purpose FasTrak lane in each direction within the 241 roadway median through the Windy Ridge Mainline Toll Plaza from south of the Southern California Edison (SCE) wildlife undercrossing to north of the Windy Ridge wildlife undercrossing, a distance of 3.0 miles. Widening the southbound SCE bridge and the northbound Windy Ridge Wildlife bridge was also included in the project. The project was opened to traffic in October 2009.

<u>10.</u> <u>All-Electronic Tolling</u> – In May 2014 the agencies ceased collecting cash on the system. This was a multi-year process that involved each of the departments within the TCA. All-Electronic Tolling provides for license plate tolling for those that do not have a toll road account. The work was done in conjunction with a pressing need to replace outdated tolling equipment throughout the system.



San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency Completed Projects

<u>1. 73 @ Glenwood Interchange Phase I</u> – This project included the design and construction of ramps to and from the north at Glenwood/Pacific Park Drive on the 73 Toll Road. Work was performed under a design-build contract with construction completed in April 2003 at a total project cost of \$8.50 million. Just under \$6.7 million was received by the San Joaquin Hills Agency in grant funding for the project.

<u>2. Landscaping Enhancements</u> – A contract was completed to enhance the landscaping at interchanges along the SR 73, at a cost of \$2.30 million.

<u>3. 73 Northbound Roadway Widening</u> – This project added a fourth lane to the northbound mainline in two locations: 1) from the former lane drop north of Aliso Viejo Parkway to north of the Laguna Canyon Road entrance ramp, a distance of 2.4 miles, and 2) from the Catalina View Mainline Toll Plaza cash lane merge, to the MacArthur Blvd. exit, a distance of 3.3 miles. The project was opened to traffic in December 2009.

<u>4. All-Electronic Tolling</u> – In May 2014 the agencies ceased collecting cash on the system. This was a multi-year process that involved each of the departments within the TCA. All-Electronic Tolling provides for license plate tolling for those that do not have a toll road account. The work was done in conjunction with a pressing need to replace outdated tolling equipment throughout the system.

